if this message does not display correctly, click **here**



LEGAL SCHOLARSHIP NETWORK: LEGAL STUDIES RESEARCH PAP **ER SERIES**

VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF WELLINGTON LEGAL RESEARCH PAPE RS

Vol. 1, No. 3: Sep 27, 2011

JOHN PREBBLE, EDITOR

Professor of Law, Victoria University of Wellington, Honorary Research Fellow, Monash University

john.prebble@vuw.ac.nz

Browse ALL abstracts for this journal

Links: Subscribe ~ Unsubscribe | Distribution | Network Directors | Submit ~ Revise Your Papers

Table of Contents

New Zealand's Commitment to Combat Disability Discrimination

Petra Butler, Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law

'The Rule of Law' Means Literally What it Says: The Rule of the Law': Fuller and Raz on Formal Legality and the Concept of Law

Mark J. Bennett, Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law

Mapping the Rainbow of Review: Recognising Variable Intensity

Dean R. Knight, Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law

Democracy and Constitutional Change

Allan Hutchinson, York University - Osgoode Hall Law School Joel I. Colon-Rios, Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law

The Fabricated Unwind Doctrine: The True Meaning of Penn v. Robertson

John Prebble, Victoria University of Wellington, Monash University Chye-Ching Huang, University of Auckland

The Changing Role of the State: Regulating Work Arrangements in Australia and New Zealand 1788-2007

Gordon John Anderson, Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law Michael G. Quinlan, University of New South Wales (UNSW) - School of Organisation and Management

LEGAL SCHOLARSHIP NETWORK: LEGAL STUDIES RESEA RCH PAPER SERIES VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF WELLINGTON LEGAL RESEARC H PAPERS

H PAPERS "New Zealand's Commitment to Combat Disability Discrimination" CRITICAL PERSPECTIVES ON HUMAN RIGHTS AND DISABILITY LAW, Marcia H. Rioux, Lee

<u>Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper No. 13/2011</u>

Ann Basser, Melinda Jones, eds., Brill Publishers, 2011

PETRA BUTLER, Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law

Email: Petra.Butler@vuw.ac.nz

Paper is a chapter in the forthcoming book "Critical Perspectives on Human Rights and Disability Law" edited by Marcia H. Rioux, Lee Ann Basser and Melinda Jones (Brill Publishers, July 2010) and explores New Zealand's commitment to combat disability discrimination, especially in regard to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. It explores not only the constitutional framework but also policy developments, and practical measures.

"'The Rule of Law' Means Literally What it Says: The Rule of the Law': Fuller and Raz on Formal Legality and the Concept of Law" Australian Journal of Legal Philosophy, Vol. 32, pp. 90-113, 2007

Australian Journal of Legal Philosophy, Vol. 32, pp. 90-113, 2007 <u>Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper No. 14/2011</u>

MARK J. BENNETT, Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law Email: mark.j.bennett@vuw.ac.nz

This article considers the conceptual relationship between the formal legality conception of the ideal of the rule of law and the concept of law. It describes two key approaches to the relationship, namely 'monism' and 'dualism'. Monism sees the formal legality as part of the concept of law, whereas dualism does not. The paper raises some issues in relation to conceptualising law, and points out some apparent inconsistencies in the legal theory of Joseph Raz.

"Mapping the Rainbow of Review: Recognising Variable Intensity" New Zealand Law Review, pp. 393-431, 2010 Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper No. 15/2011

<u>DEAN R. KNIGHT</u>, Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law Email: <u>dean.knight@vuw.ac.nz</u>

This article explores Professor Taggart's "rainbow of review", a metaphor which seeks to capture the different intensity applied by courts when reviewing the administrative decisions of public bodies, office-holders and officials. The primary purpose of this article is to demonstrate the widespread application of variable intensity in New Zealand administrative law, both in its overt and covert forms. This article also builds on the contextualism-deference couplet mentioned by Professor Taggart - the idea that a commitment to the importance of context must also involve the application of deference (or variability) in judicial supervision. The secondary purpose of the article is to examine the attitudes of local jurists, scholars and practitioners to the contextualism-variability couplet. A strong commitment to the first arm of the couplet is evident, but there are greatly varying attitudes to the latter

arm. The article aims to build a foundation for the future examination of the ideal mechanism to capture and calibrate variable intensity.

"Democracy and Constitutional Change"

Theoria: A Journal of Social and Political Theory, No. 127, 2011

Osgoode CLPE Research Paper No. 48/2010

Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper No. 16/2011

ALLAN HUTCHINSON, York University - Osgoode Hall Law School

Email: <u>hutch@yorku.ca</u>

JOEL I. COLON-RIOS, Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law

Email: joel.colon-rios@vuw.ac.nz

The relationship between democracy and constitutions is a long and fractitious one. Those who lean towards the constitutionalist side have tended to perceive democracy as a threat to political order and the preservation of important values, whereas those who take a more democratist stance tend to treat constitutions as elite hindrances to popular rule as much as anything else. In this paper, we will give the constitutionalist thesis a broader theoretical and political scrutiny. By way of explanation, we will address and recommend the possibilities and problems for putting into practical operation such an anti-constitutionalist stance; the recent experience of the U.S. State of California offers itself as a good forcing-ground for these ideas. In short, from a democratic standpoint, the challenge for the citizenry is not so much about defining the values of constitutions, but constitutions whose change is outside the scope of popular decision making, supposed to exclusively take place through judicial interpretation or through an amendment formula designed precisely to make change difficult and unlikely. Too often, constitutions place checks and limits on democratic participation in the name of some other set of vaunted truths or elite-favouring values. For the strong democrat, it is formal constitutions and their institutional paraphernalia that do more to inhibit and dull democracy's emancipatory potential than to nurture and fulfil it.

"The Fabricated Unwind Doctrine: The True Meaning of Penn v. Robertson"

Hastings Business Law Journal, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2011

Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper No. 17/2011

JOHN PREBBLE, Victoria University of Wellington, Monash University

Email: John.Prebble@vuw.ac.nz

CHYE-CHING HUANG, University of Auckland

Email: cc.huang@auckland.ac.nz

When taxpayers discover that their transactions have unwanted tax consequences, they routinely rely on the unwind doctrine found in Internal Revenue Service Revenue Rulings 80-58. Nowadays, "unwinding" has become a "common if not ubiquitous feature of tax practice." This article finds that the unwind doctrine has no firm basis in case law. Instead, the unwind doctrine is an Internal Revenue Service (IRS) fabrication based on the IRS's misinterpretation of the case Penn v. Robertson 115 F2d 167 (4th Cir 1940). If the authors are correct one result may be that recipients of TARP-funded bonuses who gave the money back in the face of public opprobrium may (a) be taxable on the bonuses and (b) be unable to set the repayment off against taxable receipts in calculating their taxable income.

"The Changing Role of the State: Regulating Work Arrangements in Australia and New Zealand 1788-2007"

Labour History, No. 95, November 2008

Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper No. 18/2011

GORDON JOHN ANDERSON, Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law

Email: gordon.anderson@vuw.ac.nz

MICHAEL G. QUINLAN, University of New South Wales (UNSW) - School of Organisation and

Management

Email: m.quinlan@unsw.edu.au

The state has played a conspicuous role in the history of labour in Australia and New Zealand both as a focus for struggles and where the labour movement achieved a degree of influence that garnered the interest of progressives in other countries. The state is a complex institution and its relationship to labour has been equally complex especially when the differential impacts on different groups such as women are considered. The principal aim of this paper is to trace state regulation of work arrangements (not only those pertaining to industrial relations) in both countries over the period of European presence. Although there are significant similarities, a number of differences are identified and we also try to indicate how recent research and debate on the historiography of the state can provide new insights.

^top

Solicitation of Abstracts

The Victoria University of Wellington was founded in 1899 to mark the Diamond Jubilee of the reign of Queen Victoria of Great Britain and of the then British Empire. Law teaching started in 1900. The Law Faculty was formally constituted in 1907. The first dean was Richard Maclaurin (1870-1920), an eminent scholar of both law and mathematics. Maclaurin went on to lead the Massachussetts Institute of Technology as President in its formative years. Early professors included Sir John Salmond (1862-1924), still one of the Common Law's leading scholars. His texts on jurisprudence and torts have gone through many editions and remain in print.

Alumni include Sir Robin Cooke (1926-2006), one of the leading judges of the British Commonwealth. As Baron Cooke of Thorndon, he sat on over 100 appeals to the Judicial Committee of the House of Lords, one of very few Commonwealth judges ever appointed to do so.

Since 1996 the <u>Law School</u> has occupied the Old Government Building in central Wellington. Designed by William Clayton and opened in 1876 to house New Zealand's then civil service, the building is a particularly fine example of Italianate neo-Renaissance style. Unusually among large colonial official buildings of the time it is constructed of wood, apart from chimneys and vaults.

The School is close to New Zealand's Parliament, courts, and the headquarters of government departments. Throughout Victoria's history, our law teachers have contributed actively to policy formation and to law reform. As a result, in addition to many scholarly articles and books, the Victoria SSRN pages include a number of official reports.

Victoria graduates approximately 230 LLB and LLB(Hons) students each year, and about 60 LLM students. The faculty has an increasing number of doctoral students. Ordinarily there are ten to twelve students engaged in PhD research.

Victoria University observes the British system of academic ranks. In North American terms, lecturers and senior lecturers are tenured doctrinal scholars, not legal writing teachers. A senior lecturer corresponds approximately to a North American associate professor in rank.

Submissions

To submit your research to SSRN, sign in to the <u>SSRN User HeadQuarters</u>, click the My Papers link on left menu and then the Start New Submissions button at top of page.

Distribution Services

If your organization is interested in increasing readership for its research by starting a Research Paper Series, or sponsoring a Subject Matter eJournal, please email: RPS@SSRN.com

Distributed by:

Legal Scholarship Network (LSN), a division of Social Science Electronic Publishing (SSEP) and Social Science Research Network (SSRN)

Directors

LAW SCHOOL RESEARCH PAPERS - LEGAL STUDIES

BERNARD S. BLACK

Northwestern University - School of Law, Northwestern University - Kellogg School of Management, European Corporate Governance Institute (ECGI)

Email: bblack@northwestern.edu

RONALD J. GILSON

Stanford Law School, Columbia Law School Email: rgilson@leland.stanford.edu

Please contact us at the above addresses with your comments, questions or suggestions for LSN-LEG.

^top

Links: Subscribe to Journal | Unsubscribe from Journal | Join Site Subscription | Financial Hardship

Subscription Management

You can change your journal subscriptions by logging into <u>SSRN User HQ</u>. If you have questions or problems with this process, please email <u>UserSupport@SSRN.com</u> or call 877-SSRNHelp (877.777.6435 or 585.442.8170). Outside of the United States, call 00+1+585+4428170.

Site Subscription Membership

Many university departments and other institutions have purchased site subscriptions covering all of the eJournals in a particular network. If you want to subscribe to any of the SSRN eJournals, you may be able to do so without charge by first checking to see if your institution currently has a site subscription.

To do this please click on any of the following URLs. Instructions for joining the site are included on these pages.

- Accounting Research Network
- Cognitive Science Network
- Corporate Governance Network

- Economics Research Network
- Entrepreneurship Research & Policy Network
- Financial Economics Network
- Health Economics Network
- Information Systems & eBusiness Network
- Legal Scholarship Network
- Management Research Network
- Political Science Network
- Social Insurance Research Network
- Classics Research Network
- English & American Literature Research Network
- Philosophy Research Network

If your institution or department is not listed as a site, we would be happy to work with you to set one up. Please contact <u>site@ssrn.com</u> for more information.

Individual Membership (for those not covered by a site subscription)

Join a site subscription, request a trial subscription, or purchase a subscription within the SSRN User HeadQuarters: http://www.ssrn.com/subscribe

Financial Hardship

If you are undergoing financial hardship and believe you cannot pay for an eJournal, please send a detailed explanation to **Subscribe@SSRN.com**

^top

To ensure delivery of this journal, please add <u>LSN@publish.ssrn.com</u> (Legal Scholarship **Network)** to your email contact list. If you are missing an issue or are having any problems with your subscription, please Email <u>usersupport@ssrn.com</u> or call 877-SSRNHELP (877.777.6435 or 585.442.8170).

FORWARDING & REDISTRIBUTION

Subscriptions to the journal are for single users. You may forward a particular eJournal issue, or an excerpt from an issue, to an individual or individuals who might be interested in it. It is a violation of copyright to redistribute this eJournal on a recurring basis to another person or persons, without the permission of Social Science Electronic Publishing, Inc. For information about individual subscriptions and site subscriptions, please contact us at Site@SSRN.com

^top

Copyright © 2011 Social Science Electronic Publishing, Inc. All Rights Reserved