TE WHARE WĀNANGA O TE ŪPOKO O TE IKA A MĀUI



School of Social and Cultural Studies

Te Kura Mahinga Tangata

Social Policy

SPOL 302

GOVERNANCE: NGOS, THE STATE, AND CIVIL SOCIETY

Course Outline

CRN 1765 : 24 POINTS : TRIM 1, 2009 (2 March – 1 July)

COURSE COORDINATOR: DR PATRICIA NICKEL

Murphy building MY1003 Tel: 463 6745

E-mail: Patricia.Nickel@vuw.ac.nz

LECTURE: MON & THURS 11AM – 12 NOON: MY 401 TUTORIAL: MON 12 NOON – 1PM: MY 401

CONTENTS	PAGE
Part A: General Introduction to School and Social Policy Staff	1
Part B: Course Objectives and Lecture Outline	2
Part C: Course Assessment Requirements	8
Part D: Course Assessment, Protocols and Procedures	10
Part E: Course Readings	13
Appendix A: References and Bibliographies	14
Appendix B: Assignment Marking Sheet	15
Assignment Cover Sheet	18

PART A: GENERAL INTRODUCTION TO SCHOOL AND SOCIAL POLICY STAFF

COURSE COORDINATOR AND LECTURER

The staff member with overall responsibility for this course is Dr Patricia Nickel, who is available to discuss any student queries about the course and assessment.

Patricia's contact details are:

Patricia Nickel Tel: 463 6745

E-mail: <u>Patricia.Nickel@vuw.ac.nz</u> Room: Murphy Building, MY1003 Office hours: Monday 1.30 – 2.30pm Tuesday 12.30 – 1.30pm

If Patricia is not available and you have an urgent problem, then leave a message with the School Administration on 463 5317 or 463 5258.

SCHOOL LOCATION

Social Policy Programme staff are located on level 10 of the Murphy Building. School notice boards are on level 9 and 10, Murphy Building. All notices concerning this course, including information about tutorials, will be posted on the level 9 notice board.

STAFF AND ADMINISTRATION CONTACT

Head of School: Assoc. Professor Jenny Neale, MY1013

Tel: 463 5827 E-m: Jenny.Neale@vuw.ac.nz

International Student Liaison: Dr Hal Levine MY1023

Tel: 463 6132 E-m: Hal.Levine@vuw.ac.nz

Maori and Pacific Student Liaison Dr David Pearson, MY1020

Tel: 463 6748 E-m: david.pearson@vuw.ac.nz

Students with Disabilities Liaison: Dr Russil Durrant, MY1120

Tel: 463 9980 E-m: russil.durrant@vuw.ac.nz

School Manager: Carol Hogan, MY918

Tel: 463 6546 E-m: Carol.Hogan@vuw.ac.nz

School Administrators: Monica Lichti, Alison Melling, Adam Meers

MY921, Tel: 463 5317, 463 5258, 463 5677

E-m: sacs@vuw.ac.nz

WEBSITE

The School website is http://www.victoria.ac.nz/sacs

COURSE WITHDRAWAL PROCEDURES

If you decide for ANY reason at ANY stage to withdraw from SPOL 302 (or any other course) please see the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences for a Change of Course Form. Failure to do so may have consequences for enrolment, bursaries, allowances, loans, etc., i.e. you will get credited with a fail, <u>not</u> a withdrawal, on your record if you do not act promptly.

COURSE WORKLOAD EXPECTATIONS

The design of the course is based upon an assumption that students will need to spend a minimum of 16 hours a week. This workload is in accordance with the guidelines put forward by the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences for half-year courses at the 300-level.

PART B: COURSE OBJECTIVES AND LECTURE OUTLINES

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

In recent decades the New Zealand 'welfare state' has undergone dramatic transformation. This course explores social policy in relationship to collaborative governance and civil society in New Zealand and internationally. We will explore the theoretical foundations of governance and civil society, engage in critical discussion of case studies showing collaborative governance at work, and practice various methods of collaborative governance.

AIMS OF THE COURSE

- To understand and critically evaluate the theoretical foundations of governance and civil society as they relate to social policy.
- To understand the rise of governance in New Zealand.
- To understand and critically evaluate various theoretical frameworks informing governance.
- To evaluate cases of collaborative governance according to their foundation in theories of governance and civil society.
- To practice various methods of engaging in collaborative governance and be able to relate them to theoretical foundations.

Within the course students will:

- Develop the skills necessary to critically and constructively analyse the theoretical foundations of governance and civil society as they relate to social policy.
- Develop an understanding of the potentials and problems inherent to the practice collaborative governance.
- Apply various theoretical frameworks to governance and social policy.
- Situate New Zealand governance in the global social policy environment.
- Critically evaluate international case studies and imagine solutions to identified problems.
- Practice various methods of engaging in collaborative governance and be able to relate them to theory.

Topic Outline

- Theoretical Foundations
 - Overview of the Shift to Governance
 - o The State and Civil Society
 - o The Public Sphere and Dynamics of Engagement
 - Collaborative Governance and Social Policy
- Theoretical Frameworks for Practice
 - Associational Democracy
 - Deliberative Democracy
 - Collaboration
 - o NGOs in International Governance and Social Policy
- Practice
 - Case Studies
 - New Zealand Models
- Collaborative Processes and Methods of Engagement
 - E-governance
 - Open Space Technology
 - Negotiation

COURSE OUTLINE

DATE	TOPIC AND ASSIGNED READINGS
2 March, Monday	Introduction to the course: An overview of the current social policy and governance environment in New Zealand.
5 March, Thursday	Lecture: History of the state and civil society in socio-political thought.
9 March, Monday	Tutorial: Ehrenberg, John, 1999. Civil Society: The Critical History of an Idea, New York: New York University Press. ISBN: 0814722075. Chapter 6: "Civil Society and Intermediary Organizations," pp. 144-169. Hardt, Michael, 1995. "The Withering of Civil Society," Social Text, 45, Winter, pp. 27-44. Naidoo, Kumi, Ed., 1999, Civil Society at the Millennium, West Hartford: Kumarian Press. Inc. ISBN: 1-56549-101-7. Chapter 2, "Why We Must Listen to Citizens," pp. 17-26, and 4, "Civil Society and Indigenous Peoples," pp. 43-56.
12 March, Thursday	Lecture: The public sphere: The history of an idea
16 March, Monday	Assigned Reading: Fung, Archon, 2003. "Survey Article: Recipes for Public Spheres: Eight Institutional Design Choices and Their Consequences, Journal of Political Philosophy, 11: 3, pp. 338-367. Community - Government partnering to support volunteering in New Zealand, by Kathryn Paton, Available at: http://www.ocvs.govt.nz/documents/papers/iave-2006-conference-paper.doc (Skim) Defining the Nonprofit Sector: New Zealand, by Margaret Tennant, Jackie Sanders, Michael O'Brien, Charlotte Castle, September 2006. Available at: http://www.ocvs.govt.nz/documents/work-programme/Defining-the-NonProfit-Sector-New-Zealand-6-Sept-06.pdf (Skim)
19 March, Thursday	Guest Speaker, Sandra Grey

23 March,	Lacture: Covernance I		
Monday	Lecture: Governance I		
Wioriday	Tutorial:		
	Young, Dennis R. 2000. "Alternative Models of Government-		
	Nonprofit Sector Relations: Theoretical and International		
	Perspectives," Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly, 29, pp. 149-		
	172.		
	Sorensen, Eva & Torfing, Jacob. 2005. Network governance and post		
	liberal democracy. Administrative Theory and Praxis, 27, pp. 197-237.		
26 March,	Lecture: Governance II		
Thursday			
30 March,	Lecture: Deliberative democracy		
Monday			
	Tutorial:		
	Hendriks, Carolyn M., 2005. "Participatory Storylines and their		
	Influence on Deliberative Forums," <i>Policy Sciences</i> , 38, pp. 1–20.		
	Baiocchi, Gianpaolo, 2003. "Emergent Public Spheres: Talking		
	Politics in Participatory Governance," American Sociological Review,		
	68:1, pp. 52-74.		
	Patterson, P.M., 2000, "The Talking Cure and the Silent Treatment:		
	Some Limits of 'Discourse' as Speech," Administrative Theory and		
	Praxis, 22: 4, pp. 663-695.		
2 April,	Lecture: Associational democracy		
Thursday			
6 April,	Lecture: Theory in practice: Discourse, the public sphere, and		
Monday	participatory governance.		
-			
	Tutorial:		
	Warren, Mark E. 2000. Democracy and Association, Princeton:		
	Princeton University Press. ISBN: 0691050775. Chapter Four, "The		
	Democratic Effects of Association," pp. 60-93.		
	Greenway, John; Slater, Brian; & Hart, Stella, 2007. "How Policy		
	Networks Can Damage Democratic Health: A Case Study in the		
	Government of Governance," <i>Public Administration</i> , 85: 3, pp. 717-738		
	23.22.22.20 02 03.02.20.00 12.00.00 12.00.00 12.00.00 12.00.00 12.		
	Review for test.		
9 April,	IN-CLASS TEST		
Thursday			
	13-26 April Trimester Break		
	,		

27 April,	Lecture: Collaboration		
Monday			
	Tutorial:		
	Lowndes, Vivien & Skelcher, Chris, 1998.		
	"The Dynamics of Multi-organizational Partnerships: an		
	Analysis of Changing Modes of Governance,"		
	Public Administration, 76: 2, pp. 313-333.		
	Teisman, Geert R. & Klijn, Erik-Hans, 2002. "Partnership		
	Arrangements: Governmental Rhetoric or Governance Scheme?"		
	Public Administration Review, 62:2, pp. 197-205.		
30 April,	Lecture: NGOs and social policy		
Thursday			
4 May, Monday	Lecture: Global governance, INGOs, and social policy		
	Tutorial:		
	Ahmed, Shamima & Potter, David M., 2006.		
	NGOs in International Politics, ISBN: 1565492307.		
	Chapter 4, "NGO Relations with States," pp. 57-74.		
	Deacon, Bob, Hulse, Michelle & Stubbs, Paul. 1997. Global Social		
	<i>Policy: International Organizations and the Future of Welfare.</i> London:		
	SAGE Publications Ltd. ISBN 0-8039-8954-7. Chapter 3, "The Social		
	Policy of Global Agencies," pp. 57-90.		
7 May,	Lecture: E-Governance		
Thursday			
11 May,	Lecture: Deliberative policy analysis (applications of the public		
Monday	sphere)		
	Tutorial:		
	Goven, J., 2003. "Deploying the consensus conference in New		
	Zealand: Democracy and de-problematization," <i>Public Understanding</i>		
	of Science, 12, pp. 423–440.		
	Guttman, Nurit, 2007. "Bringing the Mountain to the Public:		
	Dilemmas and Contradictions in the Procedures of Public		
	Deliberation Initiatives That Aim to Get "Ordinary Citizens" to		
	Deliberate Policy Issues," Communication Theory 17:4, pp. 411–438.		
14 May,	Lecture: Open Space Technology		
Thursday	,		

18 May,	Practice: Open Space Technology		
Monday	Attendance Required.		
	Assigned Reading:		
	Owen, Harrison, 1997. Open Space Technology: A User's Guide. San		
	Francisco: Berrett-Koehler Publishers, Inc. Chapter One, "Open		
	Space Technology?" pp. 1-13.		
21 May,	Lecture: Negotiation		
Thursday			
25 May,	Practice: Negotiation		
Monday	Attendance Required.		
	Assigned Reading:		
	Fisher, Roger; Ury, William & Patton, Bruce 1991. Getting to Yes:		
	Negotiating Agreement Without Giving In. New York: Penguin.		
	Chapter 2.		
	Fisher, Roger & Shapiro, Daniel, 2005. Beyond Reason: Using Your		
	Emotions as You Negotiate. New York: Penguin. Chapters 1, 2, pp. 203-		
	204, 206-211.		
28 May,			
J .	Lecture: Putting it all together		
Thursday			

ILLNESS AND MEETING THE ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENTS OF THE COURSE

If illness or bereavement prevents you from submitting an assignment by the due date, then you may be given an extension of time. Extensions on the basis of personal circumstances will also be considered. Any requests for extension must be discussed with Patricia Nickel. Note however, that extensions must be applied for before the date on which the assignment is due, and that the period of extension will not exceed the period of illness. You may be asked to produce a medical certificate.

PART C: COURSE ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENTS

MANDATORY COURSE REQUIREMENTS

Students must fulfill the following requirements:

- a) completion of two written assignments.
- b) completion of an in-class test.
- c) participation in practice exercises as assigned according to course size.

	Weighting	Due Date
In-class test	20%	11am, Thursday, 9 April, 2009
Assignment 1	35%	4pm, Monday, 27 April, 2009
Assignment 2	35%	4pm, Monday, 15 June, 2009
Participation	10%	11am, 19, 23, 26 March, 2009

IN-TERM ASSESSMENT WORK

- The objective of the two written assignments is to foster critical thinking, problem solving, and analytical through a critical analysis of the application of theories of collaborative governance in the context of social policy in New Zealand and the global environment in which New Zealand is situated.
- The objective the in-class test is to help students to organize their reading and lecture attendance in relationship to assessment.
- The objective of the participation assessment is to facilitate active student engagement in the learning process and to provide students with practice in the methods of citizen engagement in the policy process.

IN-CLASS TEST

Date: 11 AM – 12 noon, Monday, 9 April 2009

Weighting: 20%

The test will be conducted during the lecture period on Monday, 15 September, 2008, in the normal lecture room. The test will be based on tutorial readings and lectures.

ASSIGNMENT 1 – ESSAY

Due: 4pm, Monday, 27 April, 2009 Weighting: 35%

Length: 2,500 words

Choose **ONE** of the following options:

- 1. Discuss the transformation from *government* to *governance* and its implications for social policy in New Zealand.
- 2. Make an argument for or against the application of the principles of deliberative democracy to social policy.
- 3. Compare and contrast the use of the historical use of the phrase civil society in relationship to its current use in New Zealand social policy.

ASSIGNMENT 2 – ESSAY

Due: 4pm, Monday, 15, June, 2009 Weighting: 35%

Length: 2,500 words

This essay will require that you research your answer to **ONE** of the following questions:

- What is the relationship between NGOs and the state in New Zealand?
- How does the New Zealand government incorporate practices of collaborative governance and deliberation into the policy-making cycle?
 - How do globalization and intergovernmental organizations impact governance and social policy in New Zealand and/or the world?

PARTICIPATION

Due: 11am, 19, 23, 26 March, 2009 Weighting: 10%

Your participation in class discussion and practice exercises will be evaluated throughout the course. Additionally, you are required to prepare one question for each guest speaker. You will turn your question in at the beginning of the lecture.

PART D: COURSE ASSESSMENT PROTOCOLS AND PROCEDURES

HANDING IN ESSAY AND OTHER WRITTEN ASSIGNMENTS

When?

It is the policy of the School of Social and Cultural Studies that all written assignments must be handed in by **4 pm** on the due date.

Where?

Assignments must be place in the assignment box located to the side of the lifts on level 9, Murphy Building.

Assignments **MUST NOT** be placed in individual staff pigeonholes, or under staff office doors, or handed to lecturers or tutors. This is to ensure that all work is properly recorded when submitted, and to avoid problems that have arisen in the past when work has "gone missing".

At 4 pm the assignment box is emptied, the work date-stamped and its receipt recorded, and then handed to the appropriate markers.

SCHOOL ASSIGNMENT COVER SHEET

Please include a School Assignment Cover sheet when submitting your assignments. You may wish to have your own front sheet, but please ensure you place the School's cover sheet on the top as this ensures critical identifying information is provided. A sample School Assignment Cover sheet is at the end of this Outline. Further copies may be found at the School Administration office, and on the Assignment Box, on level 9 of Murphy building.

Students MUST keep a photocopy of every written assignment

Unless students have followed this procedure, the School will not accept responsibility for pieces of written work claimed to have been handed in.

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY AND PLAGIARISM

Academic integrity means that university staff and students, in their teaching and learning are expected to treat others honestly, fairly and with respect at all times. It is not acceptable to mistreat academic, intellectual or creative work that has been done by other people by representing it as your own original work.

Academic integrity is important because it is the core value on which the University's learning, teaching and research activities are based. Victoria University's reputation for academic integrity adds value to your qualification.

The University defines plagiarism as presenting someone else's work as if it were your own, whether you mean to or not. 'Someone else's work' means anything that is not your own idea. Even if it is presented in your own style, you must acknowledge your sources fully and appropriately. This includes:

- Material from books, journals or any other printed source
- The work of other students or staff
- Information from the internet
- Software programs and other electronic material
- Designs and ideas
- The organisation or structuring of any such material

Find out more about plagiarism, how to avoid it and penalties, on the University's website: http://www.victoria.ac.nz/home/study/plagiarism.aspx

GRADES

The Social Policy Programme follows University policy in giving letter grades for all internally assessed work instead of giving numerical marks. The following table sets out the range of marks within which each letter grade is assigned. Your final grade and marks for the course will be an aggregate of the grades you achieve during the course.

Pass	A+	85% or over	Evidence of familiarity with relevant reading and sound
	A	80%-84%	understanding of concepts, plus individual interpretation
	A-	75%-79%	and insights of a higher order. An A grade will not be
			achieved without such insight. Work well presented with
			logical structure and clarity of expression.
	B+	70-74%	As above, but less individual insight and preparation. High
	В	65%-69%	level of understanding exhibited. Assignment well
			presented.
	В-	60%-64%	
	C+	55%-59%	Work lacks originality, individual insights and not strong
	C	50%-54%	on understanding. However, material used is relevant and
			presentation is satisfactory.
Fail	D	40%-49%	Little evidence of reading or comprehension. No insight.
			Poor presentation.

E Below 40% A clear failure to reach an adequate standard on the criteria set out.

Good expression (spelling, grammar, punctuation and sentence construction) and accurate referencing are considered important for this course. Consequently, **10% of the mark** for each assignment will be allocated according to the standard of expression and referencing.

Appendix A (page 13) includes information about the preferred format for referencing and bibliographies for this course.

LATE PENALTIES

Late submissions for student assignments in all Sociology and Social Policy undergraduate courses are subject to a penalty. The exact deduction will be calculated on the basis of one half mark per day late for each 10 marks, i.e. 1 mark will be deducted each day for an assignment worth 20% of the total course mark.

Note that assessment work will not be accepted for marking more than 7 days after the due date or 7 days after an approved extension date. Work must still, however, be submitted to meet the mandatory course requirements.

EXTENSIONS

If you are given an extension, a new submission date will be identified and you will have to submit the work in the same manner as above by 4 p.m. on that day. Failure to do so will result in penalties being applied in the same manner as those for the original submission date.

Also, see information on requesting extensions on page 4 of this Outline.

GENERAL UNIVERSITY POLICIES AND STATUTES

Students should familiarise themselves with the University's policies and statutes, particularly the Assessment Statute, the Personal Courses of Study Statute, the Statute on Student Conduct and any statutes relating to the particular qualifications being studied; see the *Victoria University Calendar* or go to the Academic Policy and Student Policy sections on: http://www.victoria.ac.nz/home/about/policy

This website also provides information for students in a number of areas including Academic Grievances, Student and Staff conduct, Meeting the needs of students with impairments, and student support/VUWSA student advocates.

PART E: COURSE READING

SET TEXTS

There are prepared Student Notes available from the Student Notebook Shop which you can purchase for approx \$30.00.

APPENDIX A

REFERENCES

The preferred style of referencing for this course is in-text rather than footnotes, i.e. information about the source of the reference is added into the text. This includes the author, date of publication and, where appropriate, the page number. For example:

A study of organisational behaviour showed (Howat 1985) a link between...

Clerehan (1989) showed in her study...

In a study of gender differences ... (Mills 1988:22).

You may use footnotes instead, but make sure you are consistent with format.

Full details of all references are to be listed in the bibliography at the end of the assignment.

Please make sure you reference not only direct quotes and statistics, but also all ideas and information sourced from other material.

BIBLIOGRAPHIES

A bibliography is a list of all the references and sources you have used in preparation for the assignment, including those not directly cited in the essay. The references should be listed in alphabetical order by the author's surname.

An acceptable format is: Author's surname, initials (date of publication) *Title of book* (in italics) Publisher: place published. See the list of recommended readings in this course outline for examples of references in this format.

For information sourced from websites, the format is: Name of website, author (if given) *title or heading of article* (if given), full web address, the date (day/month/year) you accessed the information.

The main thing to remember is to be consistent and careful with your presentation, particularly with punctuation. Depending on the type of reference source used, a different format may be required.

Student Learning Support Services has an excellent on-line guide to references and bibliographies at

http://www.victoria.ac.nz/st services/slss/whats-on/study-skills.aspx.

APPENDIX B

ASSIGNMENT MARKING SHEET (SAMPLE ONLY)

STUDENT:	Assignment Received:
Due Date:	Word Length:
Comments	

Description &	Excellent	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Coverage of	(Range: A+ to A; 80-	(Range: A- to B; 65-	(Range: B- to C; 50-	(Range: D to E; 0-49):
Essay Topic	85):	79):	64) : Adequate	Insufficient description
	Concise and	Concise and	description of key	of key themes; not an
	thorough	thorough description	themes; misses	appropriate topic for the
	description of key	of key themes;	opportunities for	assigned essay; no
	themes; synthesizes	occasional synthesis	synthesis across	synthesis across
	across readings	across readings.	readings.	readings.
	where appropriate.			
Organisation	Excellent	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
8	(Range: A+ to A; 80-	(Range: A- to B; 65-	(Range: B- to C; 50-	(Range: D to E; 0-49):
	85):	79):	64):	Inadequate organization
	Clear outline of	Clear outline of essay	An outline of the essay	of ideas and arguments.
	essay including a	including a thesis	including a thesis	9
	thesis statement and	statement and	statement and	
	organisational	organisational	organisational	
	sentences; follows	sentence; carries the	sentences are present,	
	organsational plan	majority of the	but demonstrates	
	through to the end	organisation through	difficulty pulling the	
	of the essay.	to the end of the	organisation through	
		essay.	to the end of the essay.	
Expression &	Excellent	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Argumentation	(Range: A+ to A; 80-	(Range: A- to B; 65-	(Range: B- to C; 50-	(Range: D to E; 0-49):
	85):	79):	64):	No argument made
	Makes an argument	Makes an argument	The argument is not	AND there assertions
	clearly supported by	and attempts to	clear OR the argument	made are not supported
	appropriate	support with	is not supported	with evidence.
	evidence.	evidence.	adequately with	
			evidence.	
Insight &	Excellent	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Inter	(Range: A+ to A; 80-	(Range: A- to B; 65-	(Range: B- to C; 50-	(Range: D to E; 0-49):
pretation	85):	79):	64) : Logical	Insufficient
	Logical	Logical interpretation	interpretation or	interpretation or
	interpretation or	or application of	application of themes,	application of themes;
	application of	themes, but not	but not discussed in	AND fails to set the
	themes in context of	adequately discussed	context of real world	essay in context of
	real world examples	in context of real	examples or	examples or theoretical
	or theoretical	world examples or	theoretical	frameworks/ concepts
	frameworks/course	theoretical	frameworks/course	and readings.
	concepts and	frameworks/course	concepts and readings.	
	readings.	concepts and		
		readings.		
Style	Excellent	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
	(Range: A+ to A; 80-	(Range: A- to B; 65-	(Range: B- to C; 50-	(Range: D to E; 0-49):
	85):	79):	64):	Writing problems inhibit
	Clear and accurate	Minor writing	Writing problems that	comprehension of the
	writing; error free.	problems that do not	distract from	essay; significant
		interfere with	comprehension of the	typographical, spelling,
		comprehension of the	essay; minor	and punctuation errors.
		essay; minor	typographical,	
		typographical,	spelling, and	

		spelling, and	punctuation errors.	
		punctuation errors.		
Bibliography &	Excellent	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Referencing	(Range: A+ to A; 80-	(Range: A- to B; 65-	(Range: B- to C; 50-	(Range: D to E; 0-49):
	85):	79):	64):	No references are used
	Contains proper and	Contains proper	Contains references to	and no bibliography is
	consistent citation	citation and a	authors, but not	included.
	and a complete	complete	proper citations.	
	bibliography.	bibliography; some	Complete	
		consistency errors.	bibliography; some	
			errors in consistency	
			and format.	

Based on rubric by Angela M. Eikenberry, 2006; Modified by Patricia Nickel and Sandra Grey, 2008

Office	IISA	οn	v
OHICE	usc	UII	ıy

Date Received:

School of Social and Cultural Studies

Te Kura Mahinga Tangata

CULTURAL ANTHROPOLOGY

CRIMINOLOGY

SOCIOLOGY & SOCIAL POLICY

SOCIAL SCIENCE RESEARCH

Assignment Cover Sheet

(please write legibly)

Full Name: _		
	(Last name)	(First name)
Student ID:_		Course (eg ANTH101):
Tutorial Day	:	Tutorial Time:
Tutor's name	2:	
Assignment	Due Date:	
I certify that thi	s paper submitted for a	TION OF AUTHENTICITY assessment is the result of my own work, except where wise acknowledged.
Signed:		Date: