

### School of Government

# **PUBL 201** INTRODUCTION TO PUBLIC POLICY

Trimester 1, 2014

### **COURSE OUTLINE**

# **Names and Contact Details**

Course Co-ordinator: Professor Jonathan Boston

Room: Room 825, Level 8, Rutherford House

Pipitea Campus

Phone: (04) 463 5456; 027 563 5456 Email: Jonathan.Boston@vuw.ac.nz

Administrator: Robyn McCallum

Room: Rutherford House, Level 8, Room 821 (Reception)

Phone: (04) 463 6599

Email: Robyn.McCallum@vuw.ac.nz

**Tutors**: Regan Brash, Nathalie Harrington, Michael Herder, Lydia

Nobbs, Jasdeep Randhawa, Liz Smail and Carly Soo

### **Trimester Dates**

Teaching Period: Monday 3<sup>rd</sup> March – Friday 6<sup>th</sup> June Study Period: Monday 9<sup>th</sup> June – Thursday 12<sup>th</sup> June Examination Period: Friday 13<sup>th</sup> June – Wednesday 2<sup>nd</sup> July (inclusive)

# **Class Times and Room Numbers**

Lecture Timetable:	Tuesday Thursday	9.30am – 10.20am 9.30am – 10.20am	RH LT2 GB LT2
Tutorial Timetable:	Monday	12.40pm – 1.30pm	RWW127
	Tuesday	12.40pm – 1.30pm	RWW220
	Tuesday	12.40 pm - 1.30 pm	RHG03
	Wednesday	11.30am – 12.20pm	RHG03
	Wednesday	12.40 pm - 1.30 pm	RHG03
	Thursday	12.40 pm - 1.30 pm	RHG03
	Thursday	12.40pm – 1.30pm	RHG01

# Please enrol in a tutorial group

To enrol in your desired tutorial group, you will need to log onto S-Cubed. The PUBL201 allocation will open at 6pm on Tuesday 4th March. Confirmation of your tutorial group will be posted on Blackboard on Friday 7<sup>th</sup> March. Tutorials will begin during the week of *Monday 10 March*. If you have any serious problems about your allocation to a tutorial group, please contact the Course Co-ordinator.

Final Examination: The exam date will be announced first week in May.

### **Withdrawal from Courses**

Your fees will be refunded if you withdraw from this course on or before Friday 14th March 2014.

The standard last date for withdrawal from this course on or before Friday 16th May 2014. After this date, students forced to withdraw by circumstances beyond their control must apply for permission on an 'Application for Associate Dean's Permission to withdraw Late' including supporting documentation. This application form is available from either of the Faculty's Student Customer Service Desks.

## **Course Delivery**

This course will be delivered through two one-hour lectures per week, plus one one-hour tutorial per week. There will only be brief opportunities for discussion during lectures. The lecture outline gives a good indication of the topics to be covered, but that may vary slightly to accommodate a guest speaker and/or to enable certain topical issues to be addressed. Tutorials provide an opportunity for interactive discussions and debate. These will focus, as indicated in the tutorial outline, on specific questions and will involve students reading and commenting on one or two specified articles/papers.

### **Expected Workload**

It is expected that students taking PUBL 201 will attend the majority of the lectures, prepare for and attend at least 8 of the 11 tutorials, complete the assessment requirements and read an adequate amount of material relevant to the course. Overall, students are expected to spend around 200 hours on course-related activities during the semester, including lectures, tutorials, essay and exam preparation, and self-directed study.

#### **Prescription**

An introduction to the study of public policy and policy analysis, models of policymaking and the policy process and the contribution of economics and politics to the study of public policy.

### **Course Content**

The course covers a range of introductory material relating to the study of public policy. In particular, it addresses the following themes and topics:

- The nature of public policy;
- The contribution of various disciplinary frameworks to policy analysis;
- The policy process;
- The scientific and policy issues surrounding climate change;
- The policy issues surrounding ethnicity, cultural diversity and the Treaty of Waitangi; and
- Some key social policy issues.

# **Course Learning Objectives**

*public, adj.*, Of or pertaining to the people as a whole; that belongs to, affects, or concerns the community or nation; common, national, popular.

policy, n., A course of action adopted and pursued by a government, party, ruler, statesman, etc.; any course of action adopted as advantageous or expedient.

Oxford English Dictionary

Public policy encompasses everything from building roads in Wellington to providing education and health care services, regulating business activity and influencing world trade talks and climate change negotiations. Although the main subject is the decisions and actions taken by governments (at all levels), many other actors — including international bodies like the UN and the World Bank, businesses, trade unions, community groups, church leaders, website hosts, journalists, celebrity activists, suicide bombers, computer hackers, etc. — often play key roles in setting policy agendas, formulating and marketing proposals, implementing decisions and stirring public support for and/or indignation about the outcomes. Three big questions motivate much work in the public policy field:

- 1. How and why do governments choose specific policies at specific times and under specific circumstances?
- 2. What makes particular policies good or bad?
- 3. How can policy-making processes and outcomes be improved?

This course will explore questions of this nature, both from the perspective of various theoretical approaches that offer more or less tidy explanations of policymaking behaviour, and through specific case studies of real New Zealand policymaking. Throughout the course, students will be asked to consider to what extent and in what ways the real-world cases are, or are not, consistent with the theoretical approaches.

<b>Course Objectives:</b> By the end of this course, students should be able to:		Major Attributes
1	Describe the defining features of public policy, good policy analysis, and robust policy advice.	MA 1
2	Analyse the influence of qualitative and normative political ideas and philosophies, and of constitutional and political institutions, on public policy.	MA 2, MA 9
3	Explain the contributions, and limits, of quantitative and qualitative methods in policy analysis.	MA 3
4	Describe the key ideas, legacies and contextual factors influencing public policy trends, both globally and locally.	MA 7
5	Explain the main components of the policy-making cycle, including agenda setting, problem identification, policy formulation, decision making, implementation, evaluation and termination.	MA 4, MA 8
6	Describe the nature of intervention logic, and the distinction between 'rational' and 'incremental' models of policy making.	MA 3, MA 6, MA 11
7	Identify the practical limits to public policy, and the nature and respective roles of the state and civil society in the development, implementation and evaluation of public policy.	MA 4
8	Appraise different disciplinary contributions (especially economics, politics and philosophy) to the development, implementation and evaluation of public policy.	MA 5
9	Explain the significance of ethics and accountability in the study and practice of public policy.	MA 12
10	Interpret the significance of the Treaty of Waitangi (Te Tiriti o Waitangi) in the study and practice of public policy in New Zealand.	MA 13

Major Attributes: PUBL majors will be able to:				
MA1	Judge the defining features of good policy analysis and advice and appraise			
	how they are best produced			
MA2	Demonstrate an understanding of the influence of political ideas and			
	philosophies, and of constitutional and political institutions on public policy			
MA3	Demonstrate an understanding of the contribution of quantitative and qualitative methods in policy analysis			
MA4	Identify the nature and respective roles of state and civil society in the			
	development, implementation and evaluation of public policy, and			
	demonstrate an understanding of the distinction between government and			
	governance			
MA5	Appraise different disciplinary contributions to the development,			
	implementation and evaluation of public policy			
MA6	Judge the relevance and importance of evidence in policymaking			
MA7	Apply the comparative method to policy analysis, and identify insights that might be drawn from other policy jurisdictions			
MA8	Judge and articulate the relevant criteria that might be used in assessing the			
	advantages and disadvantages of particular policy options			
MA9	Analyse complex policy issues from multiple perspectives and identify opportunities for innovation			
MA10	Express ideas succinctly and persuasively both in written form and orally			
MA11	Construct and articulate rationales for public policy intervention			
MA12	Demonstrate an understanding of the significance of ethics and			
	accountability in the study and practice of public policy			
MA13	Interpret the significance of the Treaty of Waitangi (Te Tiriti o Waitangi) in			
	the study and practice of public policy in New Zealand			

### **Lecture Outline**

#### 1. What is public policy? (2 lectures):

4 and 6 March

- a. Why study public policy?
- b. What is public policy?
- c. What are the main areas of policy?
- d. What are the main types of policy problems tame, wicked, etc.
- e. What are some of the big policy issues?
- f. What are the main policy instruments?
- g. What is the policy cycle?
- h. What are the limits to public policy?
- i. What information/resources are available for policy analysts?
- **2. Frameworks for thinking about public policy:** The contribution of different disciplines (6 lectures): 11, 13, 18, 20, 25 and 27 March
  - a. Economics
    - Key behavioural assumptions, principles and philosophical underpinnings
    - Justifications for state intervention
  - b. Politics
    - The differences between politics and markets as social choice mechanisms
    - Government failure: causes, consequences and solutions
    - Exit, voice and loyalty
    - The limits of politics and markets
  - c. Philosophy, ethics and public policy:
    - Ethical frameworks
    - 'Transcendental institutionalism' versus 'realization-focused comparison'; ethical pluralism versus monism
    - Conflicting values and decision rules
    - Morals and markets, including the ethical limits to economic transactions (tutorial topic)
    - Grounds for state coercion: the harm principle, legal moralism, paternalism and other principles
    - The precautionary principle
  - d. Theories, models, paradigms and the nature and limits of the social sciences
    - Competing models of policy making: the rational/synoptic model versus incrementalism/muddling through

FIRST ESSAY DUE: MONDAY 31 MARCH

# **3.** Aspects of the policy process (5 lectures): 1, 3, 8, 10 and 15 April

- a. Agenda setting and defining the problem
- b. Legacies, path dependence and the determinants of policy
- c. Formulating policy: policy design, policy instruments, policy options, selection criteria, etc.
- d. Intervention logic
- e. Stakeholders, customers, citizens, interest groups, consultation and participation
- f. Making decisions: selection criteria, setting priorities, confronting trade-offs
- g. Implementation and enforcement
- h. Evaluation, termination and policy research

### 4. Policy Case Studies (9 lectures)

- a. Climate change (4 lectures): 17 April, 6, 8 and 13 May
  - Planetary limits setting safe thresholds and policy targets
  - The science of climate change policy making in the context of risk and uncertainty
  - The economics of climate change
  - The political economy issues and the challenge of thinking long-term
  - Global policy issues and options
  - Domestic policy issues and options

#### **SECOND ESSAY DUE: MONDAY 12 MAY**

- b. Some key social policy issues (4 lectures): 15, 20, 22 and 27 May
  - The rationale for the welfare state
  - Cash versus in-kind assistance
  - Targeting versus universality
  - Rights, obligations, conditionality and the application of sanctions
  - Inequality, poverty, and what to do about it
  - Welfare reform reducing long-term benefit dependency (tutorial topic)
- c. Ethnicity, diversity and the Treaty (2 lectures): 29 May and 3 June
  - The Treaty of Waitangi: relevance, principles, settlements' process
  - Minority rights and indigenous rights
  - Biculturalism and multiculturalism, and the policy implications of ethno-cultural diversity
  - The arguments for and against affirmative action or preferential treatment (tutorial topic)

### **5.** Conclusions (1 lecture): 5 June

### **Tutorial Topics**

Tutorial 1:

10-14 March: Student finance – the student loan scheme and student allowances in New Zealand.

Who should pay for tertiary education? More specifically, what principles and criteria should govern the design of policies for *student finance* – that is, the provision of loans and allowances for (full-time) tertiary study? On the basis of these principles, critically assess the student finance policies of recent NZ governments, including the introduction of an income-contingent loan scheme in the early 1990s, the move to zero-interest loans in 2006, Labour's 2008 proposal for a universal student allowance, and the current National-led government's repeated (minor) policy changes to the student loan scheme. What changes to current policy arrangement do you think would be desirable?

Readings:

Baxter, R. 2012. "Sharing the Private and Public Costs of Tertiary Education", *Policy Quarterly*, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 48-53.

The Treasury. 2012. "Budget 2012 Information Release: Student Support Package for 2012 Budget", Wellington, June.

Supplementary:

Material on the student loan policies of Labour and National at the 2005 and 2008 general elections in NZ. See Course Readings and the relevant party websites.

Shaw and Eichbaum, Chs. 1 & 14.

Tutorial 2:

17 – 21 March: Human behaviour and public policy

What behavioural assumptions should we make for policy purposes? What insights do social psychology and behavioural economics provide to those seeking to design and implement public policy? Given such insights, what changes to current policy settings might be sensible? Consider, for instance, the design of Kiwisaver. Likewise, how might behavioural economics help inform responses to other policy problems – such as obesity, alcohol abuse, boy racers, etc.

Readings:

Ministry of Economic Development, "Behavioural analysis for policy: New lessons from economics, philosophy, psychology, cognitive science, and sociology", Wellington, October 2006.

#### *Supplementary*:

Morris Altman, "Behavioural Economics, Ethics and Public Policy: Paving the road to freedom or serfdom?" in J. Boston et al (eds) *Ethics and Public Policy: Contemporary Issues* Wellington, Victoria University Press, 2011, pp.23-48.

Alana Cornforth, "Behaviour Change: Insights for Environmental Policy Making from Social Psychology and Behavioural Economics", *Policy Quarterly*, 5, 4, 2009, pp. 21-28.

Hughes, T., 2013. "Applying Cognitive Perspectives on Decision-Making to the Policy Advice Process: A Practitioner's View", *Policy Quarterly*, Vol.9, No.3, pp.36-42.

#### Tutorial 3: 24 - 28 March: Markets and morals

Are there some goods and services that money can't or shouldn't buy? If so, what are these goods and services, and what's wrong with buying and selling them? For instance, should people be allowed to sell their blood, body parts and voting rights? Should polluters be allowed to pollute if they pay enough? Should there be a minimum wage or should people be allowed to sell their labour for any price? Should people be able to profit from a disaster by increasing the price they charge for vital services?

#### Readings:

Michael Sandel, 'Hired Help – Markets and Morals', in *Justice: What's the Right Thing to Do?* London, Penguin, 2009. See also: http://www.justiceharvard.org/

Supplementary:

Michael Sandel, What Money Can't Buy: The Moral Limits to Markets London, Allen Lane, 2012

#### <u>Tutorial 4</u>: 31 March – 4 April: Policy frameworks

What should be the goal(s) of public policy – e.g. justice, freedom, the common good, wellbeing, happiness, economic growth, higher living standards and/or the public interest? Is the policy framework proposed by the New Zealand Treasury robust? Is it correct to say that decisions about distributional outcomes and policy tradeoffs are 'political in nature and beyond the realm of policy advice'? How might the Treasury's living standards framework help inform contemporary policy debates – such as those over mining and the use of natural resources, population ageing, pensions policy, child poverty, criminal justice, etc.?

Readings:

The Treasury, "Improving the Living Standards of New Zealanders: Moving from a Framework to Implementation", New Zealand Treasury Conference Paper, June 2012 http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/media-speeches/livingstandards/sp-livingstandards-paper.pdf

Supplementary:

Ben Gleisner et al., "Broadening our understanding of living standards: Treasury's new policy framework", *Policy Quarterly*, 7, 3, August 2011, pp.13-19.

The Treasury, "Working Towards Higher Living Standards for New Zealanders", New Zealand Treasury Paper 11/02, May 2011.

<u>Tutorial 5</u>: 7 - 11 April: MMP and the policy process in New Zealand:

What difference, if any, has proportional representation made to the policy process and policy outcomes? Is New Zealand better or less well governed as a result of electoral reform in 1996? Have hard policy choices been more difficult to make? Have small 'extremist' parties managed to use their bargaining power to demand and secure 'extreme' policy changes or has the general tendency been to increase the power of 'median' voters on the various important issue dimensions, thus encouraging more 'centrist' policy choices. What changes to the electoral system, if any, would you recommend? What other constitutional changes might be desirable to improve overall policy outcomes?

Readings:

Jack Nagel, "Evaluating Democracy in New Zealand under MMP", *Policy Quarterly*, 2, 8, 2012, pp.3-11.

Supplementary:

Jonathan Boston, Stephen Church and Tim Bale, "The Impact of Proportional Representation on Government Effectiveness: The New Zealand Experience", *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 62, 4, December 2003, pp. 7 – 22.

Nicola White, "Deconstructing Cabinet Collective Responsibility", *Policy Quarterly*, 1, 4, 2005, pp. 4-11.

Shaw and Eichbaum, Ch. 8

Tutorial 6:

14 - 17 April: Intervention logic: what is it and is it logical? In what ways is intervention logic helpful in understanding a policy problem? What are the potential risks and limitations? When is intervention logic most useful?

Readings: Karen Baehler, "Intervention Logic: A User's Guide", *Public Sector*, 25, 3, 2002, pp. 14 – 20.

Supplementary:

Robert Gregory, "Political Life and Intervention Logic: Relearning Old Lessons?" *International Public Management Journal*, 7, 3, 2004, pp. 299 – 315; and

Claudia Scott and Karen Baehler *Adding Value to Policy Analysis and Advice*, Sydney, UNSW Press, 2010, pp.155-165.

### <u>Tutorial 7</u>: 5 - 9 May: Climate change and public policy

What are the key risks and vulnerabilities associated with climate change? How should governments seek to manage such risks? What are the key constraints and barriers that are likely to limit prudent risk management? How can policy makers be encouraged to give more attention to long-term risks and vulnerabilities? How might we better 'future proof' the state? How can the political influence of powerful vested interests be curbed or checked? What institutional and constitutional reforms might be desirable?

Further, what policies have recent NZ governments adopted to address climate change, and how effective have they been? Is New Zealand making a fair contribution to the global effort to reduce emissions?

Readings:

Office of the Minister for Climate Change Issues. 2013. "International Climate Change: New Zealand's Unconditional Target", Cabinet Paper, Wellington.

Supplementary:

Jonathan Boston and Frieder Lempp, "Climate change: explaining and solving the mismatch between scientific urgency and political inertia", *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 24, 8, 2011, pp.1000-21; and

Broome, J. 2008. "The Ethics of Climate Change: Pay Now or Pay More Later?" *Scientific American Magazine*, 19 May.

Garnaut, R., 2008. "A Decision-Making Framework", Ch. 1 in *The Garnaut Climate Change Review, Final Report* Canberra, pp. 1 – 22. See: http://www.garnautreview.org.au/index.htm

### <u>Tutorial 8</u>: 12 - 15 May: Substance use and abuse: the regulation of alcohol.

What kinds of harm does the excessive consumption of alcohol cause? How serious and costly are these harms? Why does NZ have a 'binge' drinking culture? How should the harms generated from excessive consumption of alcohol be balanced against the pleasure that people derive from the moderate consumption of alcohol and the loss of liberty arising from the regulation of alcohol use? What principles and considerations should guide the regulation of the sale, supply and consumption of alcohol? How can we ensure that such policies are adopted?

Readings:

Law Commission, Alcohol in Our Lives: Curbing the Harm: A Report on the Review of the Regulatory Framework for the Sale and Supply of Liquor (Wellington, 2010). <a href="http://www.lawcom.govt.nz/project/review-regulatory-framework-sale-and-supply-liquor/publication/report/2010/alcohol-our-lives">http://www.lawcom.govt.nz/project/review-regulatory-framework-sale-and-supply-liquor/publication/report/2010/alcohol-our-lives</a>

Supplementary:

Sally Casswell and Anna Maxwell, "What works to reduce alcohol-related harm and why aren't the policies more popular?" *Social Policy Journal of New Zealand*, 25, 2005, pp.118-141.

<u>Tutorial 9</u>: 19 – 23 May: Welfare reform: Reducing Long-Term Benefit Dependency.

Why has long-term dependency on welfare benefits (or social security) increased so much in NZ and in many other developed countries over the past few decades? Why does it matter? What solutions are available, and what are their advantages and disadvantages? Is this another example of a 'wicked' policy problem? What intervention logics to address long-term benefit dependency can you think of, and how sound are they?

Readings: Welfare Working Group, Final Report (February 2011) – see http://ips.ac.nz/WelfareWorkingGroup/Index.html

Supplementary:

See various articles in the special issue of *Policy Quarterly*, May 2011; <a href="http://ips.ac.nz/publications/publications/show/314">http://ips.ac.nz/publications/publications/show/314</a>

<u>Tutorial 10</u>: 26 – 30 May: Affirmative action (preferential treatment or positive discrimination)

What is affirmative action? Where has it been applied in NZ? Why is it so controversial? Is it ever justified, and if so, under what conditions?

Readings: William Bowen and Derek Bok, *The Shape of the River: Long-Term Consequences of Considering Race in College and University Admissions*, Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1998, Chs. 9 & 10.

Don Brash, "Nationhood", Speech to the Orewa Rotary Club, 27 January 2004.

<u>Tutorial 11</u>: 2 – 6 June: Concluding session – revision and summing up

NB: You are expected to attend at least 8 of 11 tutorials. If you fall behind on your reading for one week, don't despair and don't succumb to the 'domino effect' of getting further and further behind the more you try to catch up. Read the material for the *upcoming* class *first*, before trying to catch up on previous material. Don't read for facts. Instead, focus on the main conceptual point or argument of each article, and think about how it informs your understanding of public policy.

### **Readings Material**

#### **Recommended Reading:**

Richard Shaw and Chris Eichbaum, 2011. *Public Policy in New Zealand: Institutions, Process and Outcomes*, Auckland, Pearson (\$64.39). Please purchase the 3rd edition.

#### Some other recommended books:

- Boston, J., A. Bradstock and D. Eng (eds) 2010. *Public Policy: Why Ethics Matters*, Canberra, ANU E Press. Available free on line at: http://epress.anu.edu.au/ethics\_matters\_citation.html
- Boston, J., A. Bradstock and D. Eng (eds) 2011. *Ethics and Public Policy: Contemporary Issues*, Wellington, Victoria University Press. Available electronically but at a cost.
- Claudia Scott and Karen Baehler 2010. *Adding Value to Policy Analysis and Advice*, Sydney, UNSW Press. (\$71.50)

#### **Course Readings:**

Please obtain a copy of the Course Readings from VicBooks, Student Book Centre, Pipitea campus. Additional readings can be obtained from the library.

**Introductory, background and general readings** (most are on 3-day loan in the Library):

Bardach, E., 2005. A Practical Guide for Policy Analysis, New York, Chatham House. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed.

Bobrow, D. and J. Dryzek, 1987. *Policy Analysis by Design*, Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh University Press.

Fenna, A., 2004. Australian Public Policy, Pearson.

- Ham, C. and M. Hill, 1984. *The Policy Process in the Modern Capitalist State*, Brighton, Wheatsheaf Books.
- Hogwood, B. and L. Gunn, 1984. *Policy Analysis for the Real World*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Hood, C., 1986. Administrative Analysis, Brighton, Wheatsheaf Books.
- Howlett, M. and M. Ramesh, 2003. *Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems*, Toronto, Oxford University Press, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed.
- Lindblom, C., 1977. Politics and Markets, New York, Basic Books.
- Lunt, N., C. Davidson and K. McKegg (eds.) 2003. *Evaluating Policy and Practice: A New Zealand Reader*, Auckland, Pearson.
- Mintrom, M. 2012. Contemporary Policy Analysis Oxford, Oxford University Press.

- Nagel, S., 1983. Encyclopedia of Policy Studies, New York, Marcel Dekker.
- Paris, D. and J. Reynolds, 1983. The Logic of Policy Inquiry, New York, Longman.
- Parsons, W., 1995. *Public Policy: An Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Policy Analysis*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar.
- Weimer, D. and A. Vining, 2005. *Policy Analysis: Concepts and Practice*, New Jersey, Pearson-Prentice Hall, 4th ed.
- Wildavsky, A., 2007. Speaking Truth to Power, New Brunswick, Transaction Books.

**Specific Readings** (NB. there are numerous other possible readings on each topic):

#### 1. Policy Frameworks

- Baehler, K., 2005. "What are the Limits to Public Service Advising? The 'Public Argument' Test", *Policy Quarterly*, Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 3 9.
- Boston, J., A. Bradstock and D. Eng (eds) 2010. *Public Policy: Why Ethics Matters*, Canberra, ANU E Press. Chapters 1-5, and 11.
- Bozeman, B., 2002. "Public-Value Failure: When Efficient Markets May Not Do", *Public Administration Review*, March/April, Vol. 62, No. 2, pp. 145 161.
- Dror, Y., 1968. Public Policy Making Reexamined, San Francisco, Chandler.
- Goodin, R., 1990. "Liberalism and the Best Judge Principle", *Political Studies*, Vol. 38, pp. 181 195.
- Goodin, R., 1982. Political Theory and Public Policy, Chicago, Chicago University Press.
- Gregory, R., 2005. "Politics, Power and Public Policy-making: A Response to Karen Baehler", *Policy Quarterly*, Vol. 1, No. 4, pp. 26 32.
- Gregory, R., 1989. "Political Rationality or Incrementalism? Charles E. Lindblom's Enduring Contribution to Public Policy Making Theory", Policy and Politics, Vol. 17, No. 2, pp. 139 153.
- Ham, C. and M. Hill, 1984. *The Policy Process in the Modern Capitalist State*, Brighton, Wheatsheaf Books.
- Kahneman, D., 2011. Thinking, Fast and Slow London, Penguin.
- Le Grand, J., 1993. "The Theory of Government Failure", *British Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 21, pp. 423 442.

- Lindblom, C., 1990. *Inquiry and Change: The Troubled Attempt to Understand and Shape Society*, New Haven, Yale University Press.
- Lindblom, C., 1973. "The Science of 'Muddling Through'", Ch. 9 in F. Kramer (ed.), *Perspectives on Public Bureaucracy*, Winthrop Publishers.
- Parsons, W., 1995. *Public Policy: An Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Policy Analysis*, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, esp. Part 3.
- Sen, A., 1987. On Ethics and Economics, Oxford, Basil Blackwell.
- Tenbensel, T. and R. Gauld, 2000. "Models and Theories", in P. Davis and T. Ashton (eds.) *Health and Public Policy in New Zealand*, Auckland, Oxford University Press, pp. 25 43.
- Thaler, R. and C. Sunstein, 2008. *Nudge: Improving Decisions about Health, Wealth and Happiness*, London, Penguin.
- The Treasury, 2011. 'Working Towards Higher Living Standards for New Zealanders', New Zealand Treasury Paper 11/02, May.
- Wallis, J. and B. Dollery, 1999. Market Failure and Government Failure, London, McMillan.
- Wolf, C., 1987. "Market and Non-Market Failures: Comparisons and Assessment", *Journal of Public Policy*, Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 43 70.
- Zerbe, R. and H. McCurdy, 1999. "The Failure of Market Failure", *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, Vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 558 578.

#### 2. Policy-making Institutions and the New Zealand Context

- Boston, J., J. Martin, J. Pallot and P. Walsh, 1996. *Public Management The New Zealand Model*, Auckland, Oxford University Press, pp. 69 95.
- Boston, J. and S. Church, 2002. "The Budget Process in New Zealand: Has Proportional Representation Made a Difference?" *Political Science*, Vol. 54, No. 2, December, pp. 21 44.
- Ladley, A. and J. Martin (eds.), 2005. *The Visible Hand: The Changing Role of the State in New Zealand's Development: Essays for Sir Frank Holmes*, Wellington, Institute of Policy Studies.
- Malone, R., 2008. Rebalancing the Constitution: The Challenge of Government Law-Making Under MMP, Wellington, Institute of Policy Studies.
- Miller, R. (ed.), 2006. *New Zealand Government and Politics*, Melbourne, Oxford University Press, 4<sup>th</sup> ed.
- Mulgan, R., 2004. Politics in New Zealand, Auckland, Auckland University Press.

Palmer, G. and M. Palmer, 2004. *Bridled Power: New Zealand's Constitution and Government*, Melbourne, Oxford University Press.

### 3. The Policy Process

- Baehler, K., 2002. "Intervention Logic: A User's Guide". *Public Sector*, Vol. 25, No. 3, November, pp. 14 20.
- Baehler, K., 2003. "Evaluation and the policy cycle", in N. Lunt, C. Davidson and K. McKegg (eds.) *Evaluating Policy and Practice: A New Zealand Reader*, Pearson Education, pp. 27 39.
- Bakker, L. and C. Adams, 2003. "Intervention Logic: The Department of Corrections Case Study", *Public Sector*, Vol. 26, No.1, pp. 19 21.
- Bale, T., J. Boston and S. Church, 2005. "'Natural Because it Had Become Just That'. Path Dependence in Pre-electoral Pacts and Government Formation: A New Zealand Case Study", *Australian Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 40, No. 4, pp. 481 498.
- Bardach, E., 2000. A Practical Guide for Policy Analysis, New York, Chatham House.
- Boston, J., S. Church and T. Bale, 2003. "The Impact of Proportional Representation on Government Effectiveness: The New Zealand Experience", *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, Vol. 62, No. 4, pp. 7 22.
- Boston, J. and A. Ladley, 2006. "The Art and Craft of Coalition Management", *New Zealand Journal of Public and International Law*, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 55 90.
- Gluckman, P. 2013. "The role of evidence in policy formation and implementation: A Report from the Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor", Wellington, Office of the Prime Minister's Science Advisory Committee, September.
- Gregory, R., 2004. "Political Life and Intervention Logic: Relearning Old Lessons?", *International Public Management Journal*, Vol. 7, No. 3, pp. 299 315.
- Lindblom, C., 1980. *The Policy-Making Process*, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall.
- Pierson, P., 2000. "Increasing Returns, Path Dependence, and the Study of Politics", *American Political Science Review*, Vol. 94, pp. 251 267.
- Saville-Smith, K., 2003. "Power and politics: the shaping of evaluation research in New Zealand", in N. Lunt, C. Davidson and K. McKegg (eds.) *Evaluating Policy and Practice: A New Zealand Reader*, Pearson Education, pp. 16 20.
- Trotman, I., 2003. "Evaluation in New Zealand: a founder's reflection", in N. Lunt, C. Davidson and K. McKegg (eds.) *Evaluating Policy and Practice: A New Zealand Reader*, Pearson Education, pp. 21 26.
- Weiss, C., 1998. Evaluation (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.), pp. 20 45.

White, N., 2005. "Deconstructing Cabinet Collective Responsibility", *Policy Quarterly*, Vol. 1, No. 4, pp. 4-11.

### 4. Climate Change and Related Issues

- Bertram, G. and Terry, S. 2010. *The Carbon Challenge: New Zealand's Emission Trading Scheme*, Wellington, Bridget Williams Books.
- Boston, J. (ed.), 2007. Towards a New Global Climate Treaty: Looking Beyond 2012, Wellington, Institute of Policy Studies.
- Boston, J., A. Bradstock and D. Eng (eds) 2010. *Public Policy: Why Ethics Matters*, Canberra, ANU E Press. Chapters 6-9.
- Cameron, A. (ed.), 2011. Climate Change Law and Policy in New Zealand Wellington, LexisNexis.
- Chapman, R., J. Boston and M. Schwass (eds.), 2006. *Confronting Climate Change: Critical Issues for New Zealand*, Wellington, Victoria University Press.
- Carter, R. et al., 2006. "The Stern Review: A Dual Critique", *World Economics*, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 165 232.
- Congressional Budget Office, 2003. *The Economics of Climate Change: A Primer*, Washington D.C.
- Diamond, J., 2005. Collapse: How Societies Choose to Fail or Survive, Penguin Books.
- Flannery, T., 2005. Weather Makers: The Past and the Future Impact of Climate Change, Melbourne, Text Printing Co.
- Garnaut, R., 2008. *The Garnaut Climate Change Review, Final Report*, Canberra. http://www.garnautreview.org.au/index.htm
- Gore, A., 2006. An Inconvenient Truth, London, Bloomsbury.
- Hansen, J. 2009. Storms of My Grandchildren, New York, Bloomsbury.
- Helm, D. (ed.), 2005. Climate Change Policy, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Helm, D. and Hepburn, C. (eds.) 2009. *The Economics and Politics of Climate Change*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Fourth Assessment Report. *Climate Change* 2007: Synthesis Report.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Working Group 1, Fifth Assessment Report, 2013. *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Summary for Policymakers*.

- Reisinger, A., 2009. Climate Change 101: An Educational Resource Science, Impacts, Adaptation, Mitigation, Decision-Making Challenges Wellington, Institute of Policy Studies.
- Salinger, J. (ed.) 2013. Living in a Warmer World. Auckland, Bateman.
- Stern, N., 2006. *The Stern Review on the Economics of Climate Change*, London. See also the background papers at: http://www.hm-treasury.gov.uk/stern\_review\_climate\_change.htm
- For responses to the Stern Review, see *Policy Quarterly*, Vol. 3, No. 1 (2007).
- For insights into the views of 'climate sceptics', see http://www.climatescience.org.nz/
- See http://www.climateark.org or http://www.realclimate.org or http://www.earthwire.org/climate/ for a vast array of scientific and policy-related material, and the journal *Climate Policy*.

### 5. Social Policy Issues

- Boston, J., P. Dalziel and S. St John (eds.), 1999. *Redesigning the Welfare State in New Zealand*, Auckland, Oxford University Press.
- Boston, J., A. Bradstock and D. Eng (eds) 2010. *Public Policy: Why Ethics Matters*, Canberra, ANU E Press. Chapters 10 and 13.
- Expert Advisory Group, 2012. *Solutions to Child Poverty: Evidence for Action*, Wellington, Office of the Children's Commissioner. See <a href="http://www.occ.org.nz/publications/child\_poverty">http://www.occ.org.nz/publications/child\_poverty</a>
- Goodin, R. and J. Le Grand, 1987. *Not Only the Poor: The Middle Classes and the Welfare State*, London, Allen and Unwin.
- Lister, R., 2004. 'Defining Poverty', Ch. 1 in *Poverty*, Cambridge, Polity Press.
- Lund, N., M. O'Brien and R. Stephens (eds.), 2008. *New Welfare New Zealand*, Melbourne, Thomson.
- Rashbrooke, M. (ed) 2013. *Inequality: A New Zealand Crisis* Wellington, Bridget Williams Books.
- Waldegrave, C., R. Stephens and P. King, 2003. "Assessing the Progress on Poverty Reduction", *Social Policy Journal of New Zealand*, Vol. 20.
- Wilkinson, R. and Pickett, K. 2010. *The Spirit Level: Why Equality if Better for Everyone*, London, Penguin.
- See the various reports of the Welfare Working Group (2010-11): <a href="http://ips.ac.nz/WelfareWorkingGroup/Index.html">http://ips.ac.nz/WelfareWorkingGroup/Index.html</a>

See also various articles in the special issue of *Policy Quarterly*, May 2011; <a href="http://ips.ac.nz/publications/publications/show/314">http://ips.ac.nz/publications/publications/show/314</a>

#### 6. The Treaty of Waitangi, Preferential Treatment and Diversity

- Barry, B., 2001. Culture and Equality, Cambridge, Polity Press.
- Boston, J., P. Callister and A. Wolf, 2006. *The Policy Implications of Diversity*, Wellington, Institute of Policy Studies.
- Bowen, W. G. and D. Bok, 1998. *The Shape of the River: The Long Term Consequences of Considering Race in College and University Admissions*, Princeton University Press.
- Brash, D., Leader of the National Party, 2004. "Nationhood", an address to the Orewa Rotary Club, 27 January.
- Bromell, D., 2008. *Ethnicity, Identity and Public Policy: Critical Perspectives on Multiculturalism*, Wellington, Institute of Policy Studies.
- Callister, P., 2007. Special Measures: An Examination of Their Role in Reducing Ethnic Disadvantage in New Zealand, Wellington, Institute of Policy Studies.
- Hager, N., 2006. *Hollow Men: A Study in the Politics of Deception*, Nelson, Craig Potton Publishing, Ch. 5.
- James, C., 2005. "After the Treaty: a new fiction", Bruce Jesson Memorial Lecture, 14 November.
- Kymlicka, W., 1995. *Multicultural Citizenship: A Liberal Theory of Minority Rights*, Oxford, Clarendon Press.
- Ladley, A., 2005. "The Treaty and Democratic Government", *Policy Quarterly*, Vol. 1, No.1, pp. 21 27.
- White, N. and A. Ladley, 2005. "Claims to Treaty and other Rights: Exploring the Terms of Crown-Maori Negotiation", *Policy Quarterly*, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 3 9.

#### Other

For a useful website with material relating to evidence-based policy, better policy making, policy evaluation, policy delivery, etc., see: http://www.nationalschool.gov.uk/policyhub/

### **Assessment Requirements**

ASSIGNMENT	DUE DATE	WEIGHT
1st Essay (2,000 words) (all course objectives)	Monday 31 March	25%
2nd Essay (2,000 words) (all course objectives)	Monday12 May	25%
Final exam (all course objectives)	Check schedule	50%

Essays are due by 5.00pm on the date specified. They can be submitted to:

- The lecturer in class, or
- The secure box at School of Government reception (8<sup>th</sup> floor Rutherford House) during the office hours, which are 8.30am 5.00pm. The assignment box is cleared daily, and assignments will be date stamped.

Students should keep a secure copy of all assignments (i.e. hard copy and e-file).

Essays must be typed, with the exact word count (excluding references) indicated on the cover sheet. They must include details of the topic, your name, tutor and tutorial group.

Essays should seek to make sustained, well-supported and cogent arguments. Do not present a series of disconnected observations about particular cases or particular stages of the policymaking cycle. Weave your ideas together.

Essays will be marked according to the depth of understanding of the topic, the cogency of the arguments being made and the degree to which they are supported by evidence, the aptness of examples, and the originality of insights, as well as the usual standards of correct spelling, punctuation, and grammar, appropriate formatting and overall tidiness. All ideas that have been borrowed from something you read (book, journal, magazine, newspaper or website) or a conversation with another person or a television show or a speech, etc. etc., should be referenced thoroughly and accurately. Sources should be listed at the end of the paper in a bibliography.

Note: Your assessed work may also be used for quality assurance purposes, such as to assess the level of achievement of learning objectives as required for accreditation and audit purposes. The findings may be used to inform changes aimed at improving the quality of FCA programmes. All material used for such processes will be treated as confidential, and the outcome will not affect your grade for the course.

### FIRST ESSAY (due 4 April)

Please answer one of the following questions:

1. Some people maintain that the use of coercion by the state (i.e. laws and regulations backed by force) can be justified on *paternalistic* grounds, namely to prevent people from harming *themselves* or to make people do things which benefit *themselves* (e.g. by getting educated). Other people argue that state coercion is only justified in order to prevent or minimize harm to *other* specific individuals (or society as a whole and/or the environment). Critically assess the case for paternalism? Under what circumstances, if any, can policies based on paternalistic grounds be justified? Draw on at least TWO recent policy issues in New Zealand to illustrate your answer. **OR** 

- 2. Explain what is meant by a 'merit good'. Critically assess whether policy justifications based on merit good considerations have any validity. Use at least TWO recent policy issues in New Zealand to illustrate your answer. **OR**
- 3. "Policy makers must be concerned not only about 'market failure', but also 'government failure'" (anon). Critically assess the merits of this claim. What kinds or government failure are most common and how can they be minimized? Draw on at least TWO recent examples of government failure in New Zealand to illustrate your answer. **OR**
- 4. The distinguished American economist Lawrence Summers has argued: 'We all have only so much altruism in us. Economists like me think of altruism as a valuable and rare good that needs conserving. Far better to conserve it by designing a system in which people's wants will be satisfied by individuals being selfish, and saving altruism for our families, our friends, and the many social problems in this world that markets cannot solve'. Critically assess these claims. In particular, briefly assess: a) whether self-interest alone provides an adequate basis for building a good society; b) whether altruism, generosity and love should be regarded as scarce commodities that are depleted with use; c) whether altruism should ever be relied upon to achieve certain public purposes (such as the supply of blood and body parts for those in need); and d) whether governments can influence the supply of altruism. **OR**
- 5. Economists such as Amartya Sen argue that there are different dimensions of value and different categories of goods and services should be valued in fundamentally different ways. For instance, some goods and services should be valued in monetary terms while others should not. Critically assess this argument with reference to at least TWO recent policy issues in New Zealand.

#### **SECOND ESSAY (due 14 May)**

Please answer one of the following questions:

- 1. According to the Minister Responsible for Climate Change Issues, New Zealand is making a fair contribution to addressing the global problem of human-induced climate change. What principles of fairness are relevant to the issue of burden (or cost) sharing with respect to climate change mitigation and adaptation? Drawing on these principles, assess whether the Minister's claim is justified. **OR**
- 2. A clear majority of economists and other policy advisers maintain that the most cost-effective way to mitigate climate change is via well-designed price-based mechanisms (i.e. emissions taxes or emissions trading schemes). Yet the evidence to date suggests that governments in many countries are reluctant to implement such policies or at least that they are unwilling to implement them in a comprehensive, efficient and equitable manner. What other policy instruments (or 'complementary measures' as they are often called) are available for reducing greenhouse gas emissions if price-based mechanisms are deemed to be politically unacceptable? Which of these other instruments, if any, should be adopted by the New Zealand government? Justify your answer. **OR**

- 3. In New Zealand many children go to school hungry or do not have an adequate lunch. Critically assess whether there is a case for the government to provide food in schools and, if so, how such a policy should be designed. In the course of your answer, give proper attention to the long-standing debate over the relative merits of providing cash versus in-kind assistance, the empirical evidence on the costs and benefits of food-in-school programmes, and the experience of countries where such programmes are common. **OR**
- 4. Imagine that you are the Secretary for Education. Your Minister has asked for your advice on how to improve the overall performance and enhance the equity of New Zealand's education system. Drawing on the available empirical evidence, prepare a report outlining the kinds of policies that are most likely to achieve these objectives in a cost-effective manner. **OR**
- 5. Imagine that you are the Secretary for Justice. Your Minister has asked you for a report in response to a recent decision by the Belgian Parliament to extend the right to active voluntary euthanasia to terminally-ill children. She specifically wants to know:

  a) whether there are convincing ethical, legal and medical arguments for legalizing active voluntary euthanasia in New Zealand; b) whether any such legalization would require the inclusion of terminally-ill children (and, if so, under what conditions); and c) whether the legalization of active voluntary euthanasia would be compatible with current government initiatives to reduce New Zealand's high rate of youth suicide. Write a report for your Minister addressing her questions.

If you choose to answer either question 4 or question 5 for your second PUBL 201 essay, please write your report following the normal requirements of an academic essay, with standard referencing, headings/sub-headings and so forth. But you are most welcome to begin your report with a greeting to the Minister concerned and number your paragraphs.

#### **FINAL EXAM**

Students who enrol in courses with examinations are obliged to attend an examination at the University at any time during the formal examination period. The final examination for this course will be scheduled at some time during the following period: **Friday 13<sup>th</sup> June** – **Wednesday 2<sup>nd</sup> July (inclusive).** 

### **Mandatory Course Requirements and Penalties**

To fulfil the mandatory paper requirements for this paper you must:

- Attend eight of the scheduled tutorial sessions.
- Submit all written assignments by the due date. A late assignment will have its mark reduced by 3% for each day it is overdue unless there is a very good reason why it was late.

Assignments will not be accepted that are over a week late. Assignments significantly exceeding the word limit will have 5 marks deducted.

If you cannot complete an assignment or sit a test or examination, refer to www.victoria.ac.nz/home/study/exams-and-assessments/aegrotat

Students who fail to satisfy the mandatory requirements for passing this course, other than the requirement to obtain a C grade overall, will not receive a graded result, and their records will show a "K" (fail due to not satisfying mandatory course requirements, even though the student's course requirements reached the level specified for a pass).

To pass PUBL 201 a student must meet the mandatory requirements and achieve at least a total of 50% over all the assessment.

# **Class Representative**

A class representative will be elected in the first class, and that person's name and contact details will be available to VUWSA, the course coordinator, and the class. The class representative provides a communication channel to liaise with the course coordinator on behalf of the students.

### **Student Feedback**

Student feedback on University courses may be found at <a href="https://www.cad.vuw.ac.nz/feedback/feedback\_display.php">www.cad.vuw.ac.nz/feedback/feedback\_display.php</a>

# **Communication of Additional Information**

Notices, marks for assignments (by student ID number) and selected course materials will be posted on the Blackboard website. Only students who are registered for PUBL 201 will have access. If you have problems with Blackboard, please contact the ITS Help Desk on (04) 463 5050 or at its-service@vuw.ac.nz.

# Academic Integrity, Plagiarism, and the use of Turnitin

Plagiarism is presenting someone else's work as if it were your own, whether you mean to or not.

'Someone else's work' means anything that is not your own idea. Even if it is presented in your own style, you must still acknowledge your sources fully and appropriately. This includes:

- material from books, journals or any other printed source
- the work of other students or staff
- information from the Internet
- software programs and other electronic material
- designs and ideas
- the organisation or structuring of any such material.

Acknowledgement is required for *all* material in any work submitted for assessment unless it is a 'fact' that is well-known in the context (such as "Wellington is the capital of New Zealand") or your own ideas in your own words. Everything else that derives from one of the

sources above and ends up in your work – whether it is directly quoted, paraphrased, or put into a table or figure, needs to be acknowledged with a reference that is sufficient for your reader to locate the original source.

Plagiarism undermines academic integrity simply because it is a form of lying, stealing and mistreating others. Plagiarism involves stealing other people's intellectual property and lying about whose work it is. This is why plagiarism is prohibited at Victoria.

If you are found guilty of plagiarism, you may be penalised under the Statute on Student Conduct. You should be aware of your obligations under the Statute, which can be downloaded from the policy website (<a href="www.victoria.ac.nz/home/about/policy/students.aspx">www.victoria.ac.nz/home/about/policy/students.aspx</a>). You could fail your course or even be suspended from the University.

Plagiarism is easy to detect. The University has systems in place to identify it.

Student work provided for assessment in this course may be checked for academic integrity by the electronic search engine <a href="http://www.turnitin.com">http://www.turnitin.com</a>. Turnitin is an on-line plagiarism prevention tool which compares submitted work with a very large database of existing material. At the discretion of the Head of School, handwritten work may be copy-typed by the School and subject to checking by Turnitin. A copy of submitted materials will be retained on behalf of the University for detection of future plagiarism, but access to the full text of submissions will not be made available to any other party.

There is guidance available to students on how to avoid plagiarism by way of sound study skills and the proper and consistent use of a recognised referencing system. This guidance may be found at the following website <a href="http://www.victoria.ac.nz/home/study/plagiarism.aspx">http://www.victoria.ac.nz/home/study/plagiarism.aspx</a>

If in doubt seek the advice of your course coordinator.

Plagiarism is simply not worth the risk.

### **School of Government Service Standards**

Good learning and teaching outcomes for students in School of Government courses depend on many factors, including open, transparent and accountable relationships between teaching and support staff, and students in their various activities. The following service standards indicate some of the key expectations that teaching staff and students can have of each other. In all cases, they represent what the School believes should be 'normal' practice; exceptional circumstances can and will be negotiated as required.

Please note that there are University-wide policies relating to assessment – including rights of review and appeal. Details may be found in the Assessment Handbook (which is reviewed and updated from time to time –

www.victoria.ac.nz/home/about/avcacademic/publications/assessment-handbook.pdf).

In general terms, any concerns that a student or students may have should be raised with the course coordinator in the first instance. If that course of action is not appropriate, the School's programme support staff will direct you to the relevant Programme Director/Coordinator.

Standards relating to staff timeliness of responses to email and phone queries:

• Email or phone queries from students will be responded to in 48 hours

Standards relating to availability of course materials:

- Students on modular or intensive courses will usually have course materials at least 4 weeks before the course starts
- Students on weekly courses will usually have course materials available on the first day of the course

#### Standards relating to attendance:

- It is expected that students will attend <u>all</u> contact teaching sessions for a course. If a student is aware that they will be unable to attend part of a course prior to it commencing, they are required to advise the course coordinator. In such a situation, the student may be declined entry into the course.
- Where a course coordinator approves some non-attendance before the class commences, the course coordinator may set additional item(s) of assessment of learning and teaching objectives for the course for students unable to attend. Advice relating to the submission and assessment of any such additional assessment will be provided by the course coordinator.

Variations to the assessment details provided in the course outline:

• Any variation to the assessment details in the course outline will be formally agreed between the course coordinator and students at the earliest possible time, preferably at the beginning of the course.

*Standards relating to assignments – turnaround and feedback:* 

- Unless otherwise agreed between students and the course coordinator, items of assessment will be marked within 15 working days of submission.
- Comments on pieces of assessment will allow students to understand the reasons for the mark awarded, relative to the teaching and learning objectives specified in the course outline, and will usually include advice on how the student can improve their grades in future assignments.

# **Link to General Information**

For general information about course-related matters, please go to <a href="http://www.victoria.ac.nz.vbs/studenthelp/general-course-information">http://www.victoria.ac.nz.vbs/studenthelp/general-course-information</a>

# **Note to Students**

Your assessed work may also be used for quality assurance purposes, such as to assess the level of achievement of learning objectives as required for accreditation and academic audit. The findings may be used to inform changes aimed at improving the quality of VBS programmes. All material used for such processes will be treated as confidential, and the outcome will not affect your grade for the course.