



School of Information Management

MMIM 520 E-business management and strategy

Trimester Three 2011/2012

COURSE OUTLINE

| Names and Contact Details | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Contact Details | |
| Paper Coordinator: | Tony Hooper |
| | Room 427, Rutherford House, Bunny Street, Wellington |
| | Ph:- 463 5015 |
| | Email: tony.hooper@vuw.ac.nz |
| | Appointments:- If you would like to meet with me, the simplest approach is to arrange a time and place via email first. Use can also be made of Blackboard for communications with both me as well as other members of the class. |
| Programme Administrator: | Tiso Ross |
| | Room 520, Rutherford House, Bunny Street, Wellington |
| | Ph:- 463 5309 |
| | e-mail :- Tiso.Ross@vuw.ac.nz |
| Dates: | Monday 14 th November to Friday 16 th December 2011. |
| | Wednesday 9 th January 2012 to Friday 19 th February |
| | 2012 |
| Times: | Wednesdays – 17.40 – 19.30 |
| Venue: | RWW 128 |

Withdrawal dates:

Information available via http://www.victoria.ac.nz/home/admisenrol/payments/withdrawlsrefunds.aspx

Course Content

This course deals with the management tasks in an e-business: planning, managing teams, outsourcing, external relationships, work flows, security, business processes. It is intended to sensitize students to strategic and managerial issues that that impact on decision-making in a technology-driven information age. Modules will more or less equate to lectures, depending on progress made each week. The lecture schedule is shown in the table below:-

| Week No. | Date of lecture | Торіс | Written deliverable | Assessments Due |
|-------------|-----------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | 16 Nov | An overview of this course. The seven principles & ten questions | | None |
| | 19 Nov | Workshop on assessments | | None |
| 2 | 23Nov | What is e-business strategy? Competitive advantage | | SPA 1 |
| 3 | 30 Nov | Context & Scope | | SPA 2 |
| 4 | 7 Dec | Players & Rules | | SPA 3 |
| 5 | 14 Dec | Case study test | Open book case study | None |
| | 21 Dec | Study Break | | |
| | 28 Dec | Study Break | | |
| | 4 Jan | Study Break | | |
| 6 | 11 Jan | Uncertainties & Scenarios | | SPA 4 |
| 7 | 18 Jan | SWOT & Options | | SPA 5 |
| 8 | 25 Jan | Decisions & Outcomes | | SPA 6 |
| 9 | 1 Feb | Strategic decisions – open source | Final written essay | |
| 10 | 8 Feb | | | None |
| 11 | 15 Feb | Final class case study - Concluding remarks | Open book case study | |

Course Learning Objectives

- 1. To create an opportunity for students to explore strategic decision-making techniques and applying them to show how IT is driving competitive advantage and strategic decision-making.
- 2. To encourage and assess creative thinking through the use of case studies illustrating how strategy and technology relate to one another.
- 3. To create awareness of the importance of environmental factors that impact on ebusiness management and electronic commerce.
- 4. To understand the role of national strategic factors in these business concerns.

Course Learning Outcomes

The primary focus of the course is to provide a general manager's and entrepreneur's perspective on the application of current information management thinking to business and to the not-for-profit sector. By the end of this course students should be able to:

- 1. Undertake library and Internet research and record their findings according to standard academic requirements.
- 2. Discuss some of the important principles upon which businesses are building their strategic response to information and communications technological in order to promote sustainable competitive advantage.

- 3. Effectively participate in management decision-making concerning the implementation of e-business strategies.
- 4. Locate managerially relevant information on a specific e-business technology from a variety of sources and make convincing strategic recommendations.
- 5. Evaluate the opportunities and constraints upon managers within New Zealand.
- 6. Demonstrate masters-level understanding of the subject through the ability to integrate technological developments and company strategies within a sound theoretical framework.

Course Delivery

The course will be delivered in the form of nine lectures and a three hour tutorial with class discussions during the lectures wherever appropriate. The fifth and last classes will be allocated to in-class case study tests.

Note that there will be a mandatory tutorial on Saturday 19th November on finding and using information for academic assignment writing and the application of citation conventions. This tutorial will ensure that students are aware of the criteria by which their assignments will be marked and how to optimise their work plans and assignment submissions. This tutorial is probably the most valuable part of the course – according to feedback from students in previous courses. Students who miss this tutorial could have serious problems in preparing and delivering their course assignments according to specifications, with consequences for their final grades.

Instead of a final course examination, there are two Case Studies – one to be held in the 5th class on Wednesday 14th December 2011. The second Case Study will be dealt with in the final class on 15th February 2012. In both cases students will be given a case to read in preparation for the exercise and will be allowed to bring into the class their lecture notes and any other materials they consider they might need. These are open book assessments of student understanding of the material covered in class. The purpose is to make the case study assessments into learning opportunities so that they are not just exercises in remembering facts or theories presented in class, but rather opportunities to reflect on relevant issues and express creative analyses of those issues.

Textbook

There is no textbook for this course. Use will be made of current media reports and several publications and case studies from a variety of sources.

Materials and Equipment

Networked mobile devices in any form may not be used in class or in class tests.

Expected Workload

Course participants are expected to pre-read Session Preparation Assignments (SPAs), attend lectures, and participate actively in class discussions during the lecture. It is further expected that assessment components are handed in on time, and that each student does their fair share in the group work. The extent and quality of participation will be assessed. In this course we will learn from one another - as a collective exercise. We will also learn from research,

thinking and assignments. The focus will be on active learning through participation. With a more active approach, you will learn far more than a few theories and interesting case studies.

This is a 15 point course. One point should equate to 10 hours of work, which means a total of 150 hours for a 15-point course. Direct contact time in classes will amount to 25 hours, including the Saturday workshop. Preparation time for assessment items is expected to be as given in the table below, although allowance should be made for individual variations:-

| Assessment item | ForDeliveryinstructions seedate | | %age of total | Expected time |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | | grade | allocation |
| Session Preparation | Page below | Weekly as | 30 | 18 hours |
| Assignments - SPAs | | shown | | |
| Literature review | Page below | 11 Jan 2012 | 15 | 30 hours |
| Written research assignment | Page below | 1 Feb 2012 | 15 | 30 hours |
| First Case study | Page below | 14 Dec 2011 | 20 | 5 hours |
| Final Case study | Page below | 15 Feb 2012 | 20 | 5 hours |
| Total | | | 100 | 88 hours |

This leaves a "fudge factor" of an additional 37 hours for additional reading, study and class preparation.

Feedback on assessments

- All SPAs submitted will be assessed and returned to students at the following class.
- The literature review will be marked according to the marking rubrics indicated in this Course outline and returned to students within two weeks.
- The mid-trimester case study marks will be posted to the course Blackboard website by the 11th January 2012.
- The final written essay and the final case study will be marked and the grades made available by the end of February 2012.

Note: Your assessed work may also be used for quality assurance purposes, such as to assess the level of achievement of learning objectives as required for accreditation and audit purposes. The findings may be used to inform changes aimed at improving the quality of FCA programmes. All material used for such processes will be treated as confidential, and the outcome will not affect your grade for the course.

| | | - | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| Letter Grade | Number grade | Approx Dist'n * | Simple Description | More Complete Description** |
| A+ | Over 84 | 4% | Outstanding | Far exceeds requirements, flawless, creative |
| А | 80-84 | 10% | Excellent | Polished, original, demonstrating mastery |
| A- | 75-79 | 14% | Very Good | Some originality, exceeds all requirements |
| B+ | 70-74 | 22% | Good | Exceeds requirements in some respects |
| В | 65-69 | 26% | Satisfactory | Fulfills requirements in general |
| B- | 60-64 | 18% | Acceptable | Only minor flaws. Unoriginal |
| C+ | 55-59 | 4% | Pass | Mistakes, recapitulation of course material |
| С | 50-54 | 2% | Minimum pass | Serious mistakes or deficiencies |
| D | 40-49 | 1% | Unacceptable | Little understanding, poor performance |
| Е | 00-39 | 1% | Fail | Below the minimum required |

Grading standards:

* This is the hypothetical percentage of students that would attain the various levels of performance, over several repetitions of the course, under similar conditions. It is recognised that the distribution in a particular course, particularly with small enrolment, may differ markedly from the long-term distribution.

** The lecturer will make use of assessment rubrics developed by the Faculty of Commerce and Administration to define levels of performance in specific learning outcomes. These will be made available to students so that they are aware of the criteria against which their work will be assessed.

Examinations

• There is no final examination for this course. Course grades will be derived from the assessment items identified above.

Mandatory course requirements

• A minimum of 45% for both the mid-term and the final case studies

Penalties

All written assignment deadlines have been specified and will be assessed by the date and time recorded on the email to which the assignment was attached when submitted to the Course Convenor. Because meeting deadlines is a critical factor in the assessment of tenders, job applications and other business negotiations, applications for extensions of time for the completion of assignments will not be entertained. The decision to submit an assignment late can be made by the student concerned, bearing in mind that there will be a 5% per day penalty for late delivery to a maximum of 25%. Students submitting their assignment late will be considered to have made an executive decision to do so and accept the penalty consequences. Assignments delivered more than 5 days late will not be marked.

Communication of Additional Information

Additional course information will be conveyed to students in class, by email or by posting the information to the course Blackboard site. Please monitor your email and the course Blackboard regularly.

Use of Turnitin (if applicable)

Student work provided for assessment in this course may be checked for academic intergrity by the electronic search engine<http://www.turnitin.com> Turnitin is an on-line plagiarism prevention tool which compares submitted work with a very large database of existing material. At the discretion of the Head of School, handwritten work may be copy-typed by the School and subject to checking by Turnitin. Turnitin will retain a copy of submitted materials on behalf of the University for detection of future plagiarism, but access to the full text of submissions will not be made available to any other party.

For the following important information follow the links provided:

Academic Integrity and Plagiarism http://www.victoria.ac.nz/home/study/plagiarism.aspx

General University Policies and Statutes http://www.victoria.ac.nz/home/about/policy/academic.aspx

Faculty of Commerce and Administration Offices

http://www.victoria.ac.nz/fca/studenthelp/Contactus.aspx

Manaaki Pihipihinga Programme

http://www.victoria.ac.nz/st_services/mentoring/

School of Information Management

MMIM 520 - E-business management and strategy

Trimester Three 2010/2011

Assessment items

1. Session Preparation Assignments.

(Faulty Learning Outcomes on critical thinking and Course Learning Outcomes 2 and 3.)

There will be six Session Preparation Assignments – SPAs – during the course. The readings for each SPA will be posted to the BlackBoard website. Students will be expected to read the document and come to class prepared to discuss the issues presented. These SPAs are designed to assist the student develop their critical thinking skills and to give students practice with the analysis of texts and the identification of issues of relevance to lectures and class discussions. As such they prepare students for the mid-term and end-of-term case study tests.

| Trait | Exemplary | Satisfactory | Unsatisfactory |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|
| Questions assumptions | Key assumptions of situation are identified | Key assumptions of disciplinary tools and | Assumptions are not identified, only |
| | and discussed, | situation are identified | tangentially discussed |
| | validating, challenging, and/or revising as appropriate. | and clearly stated. | or implied rather than stated. |
| Draws valid conclusions | Draws thorough, appropriate conclusions demonstrating an ability to identify priority, significance and impact. | Draws appropriate, justifiable conclusions addressing the major outcomes. | Conclusions do not follow from evidence and analysis, are far- fetched or trivial in scope. |
| Reflection | Comments in a comprehensive and insightful way on: • Outcomes; • Process; • The initial situation; • The disciplinary tools used; • Their learning experience. | Makes a substantive reflective statement addressing at least one of: • Outcomes; • Process; • The initial situation; • The disciplinary tools used. | Little or no attempt at reflection, or only superficial comments apparent. |

MMIM 520 – E-business management and strategy

Student name......Mark.....

2. Individual Written Project – The national strategy and competitive advantage of New Zealand

(Faulty Learning Outcomes on academic writing and critical thinking and Course Learning Outcomes 1, 4, 5 and 6.)

The purpose of this assignment is to provide students with an opportunity to identify literature sources and analyse academic journal articles related to the national ecommerce strategy and competitive advantage of New Zealand. You will develop a literature review to identify what has been published, and therefore what we know, about the electronic commerce development strategy of New Zealand and how the nation is positioning itself for international competitive advantage. Part of the intention is for you to identify any gaps in our knowledge and to prepare yourself to address the 7 principles and 10 questions that make up the major content of our lectures.

Based on your literature review, the final written submission will be a comprehensive analysis of the national electronic commerce strategy of New Zealand using the 7 principles and 10 questions that make up the major content of our lectures.

- 1. You will need to write a statement of your research methodology how you plan to research the subject and why that is the most appropriate method to use.
- 2. The next section would be a discussion of your findings according to the 10 questions dealt with in class as they apply to New Zealand's national electronic commerce strategy. Identify features that are common or that are different from other countries with whom we compete. Interpret the meaning of these comparisons and their implications for businesses in New Zealand.
- 3. Finally you need to draw conclusions not too long, about 300 words only based on the above discussion of findings.

There is no total word limit but it is suggested that 5000 words should be sufficient to get your message across in your completed document. Instructions for writing up your research will be explained in the Saturday writing tutorial on 19th November 2011 and can be found in the guidelines for academic writing that are provided on the Course Blackboard website. Pay specific attention to the structure, the literature review and the need to provide in-text citations and references according to APA convention. Note also the criteria by which your work will be marked in the Marking Schedule. The

assignment should be submitted in paper form to the Course Coordinator in class on 1st February 2012 with an electronic version delivered by midnight the previous night.

3. Case study tests

(Faulty Learning Outcomes on critical thinking and Course Learning Outcomes 2, 5 and 6.)

Case study tests are intended, in a readable way, to give students an opportunity to apply some of the principles emerging from lectures and class discussions in the MMIM 520 course.

In preparation for the class exercise, students will be given a document to read paying particular attention to the following:-

- The strategic and managerial decision issues emerging from the case;
- How these relate to the principles addressed in class discussions;
- What you think would be the best approach to resolving the problems or issues identified.

Please note that during the 5th and the 11th MMIM 520 class a questionnaire will be handed out with an answer book. Students will be required to answer the questions set and hand back the answer book before leaving the class. Full instructions will be given with the questionnaire. The time limit will be 90 minutes. Students may bring into the class any books or reference materials they may require. It is recommended that careful consideration be given to the resources brought into class. Experience reveals that too many resources are time consuming and burdensome to work with in a test of this length and nature.

Of particular importance to note is that this case study exercise is part of "Terms" and students must obtain a minimum mark of 45% in order to pass the MMIM 520 course. The mark obtained contributes 20% of the final overall course mark.

Any questions can be referred to me

- by phone (463 5015),
- email (Tony.Hooper@vuw.ac.nz)
- or in class.

4. Writing workshop – 19th November 2011

To assist all students to complete their assignments according to instructions and so to optimise their grades, a Writing workshop has been scheduled from 09.00am to 12.00pm on Saturday 19th November in RWW 102. Please note that this workshop constitutes "class contact time" making up the total class contact time to 25 hours for this course.

| Time | Item | Presenter |
|-------------|---|-----------|
| 9.00 | Welcome and distribution of course notes | Tony H |
| 9.15-9.45 | Finding your information - Using Google Scholar | Tony H |
| 9.45-10.15 | Finding your information – Database searches | Tony H |
| 10.15-10.30 | Tea break | |
| 10.30-11.00 | Writing your assignment | Tony H |
| 11.00-11.45 | APA and Using Endnote | Kamy Ooi |

The agenda will be as follows:-