In this paper, the author examines the possibility of hybrid tribunals as an alternative procedure for the prosecution of international crimes. The paper seeks to answer three questions – can hybrid trials successfully fully replace international tribunals in order to bring perpetrators of international crimes to justice? Do hybrid tribunals better fulfill the expectations of transitional justice than ad hoc tribunals, the International Criminal Court or truth and reconciliation commissions? Do hybrid tribunals represent the building blocks of a new, just world order or are they subject to the same imperfections? The paper surveys the problems related to truth and reconciliation commissions and international tribunals from the military trials at Nuremberg to the creation of the permanent International Criminal Court. It then looks...
This paper considers whether there is an international law duty for the state on whose territory an alleged criminal is located either to prosecute the alleged criminal, or extradite the alleged criminal to another state or to an international tribunal.

"Extraterritorial Abductions: Legitimate Instrument to Fight International Crimes and Terrorism or Threat to the Protection of Human Rights and the International Legal Order?"

Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper No. 18/2019
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This paper addresses the issues underlying extraterritorial abductions, seeking to understand the reasons behind resorting to such practice, and the legal, policy and moral tensions such practice causes.

"Is There an Obligation to Prosecute or Extradite Alleged International Criminals Under Customary International Law?"

In Proceedings of the 6th Annual Conference of the Australian and New Zealand Society of International Law (ANZSIL, Canberra, 1998) 57-63
Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper No. 19/2019
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This paper considers whether there is an international law duty for the state on whose territory an alleged criminal is located to prosecute the alleged criminal, or extradite the alleged criminal to another state or to an international tribunal.

"Régionalisation’ Du Droit Pénal International Dans Le Pacifique: Enjeux Et Perspectives (Regionalisation of International Criminal Law in the Pacific: Issues and Perspectives)"

Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper No. 20/2019

ALBERTO COSTI, Victoria University of Wellington - Faculty of Law
Email: Alberto.Costi@vuw.ac.nz

French Abstract: Dans cet article, les auteurs s’intéressent à la vulnérabilité des petits États insulaires du Pacifique face aux crimes internationaux et à la criminalité internationale organisée. Ils dressent un bilan des efforts qui y sont menés pour les combattre et des défis à surmonter. Ils synthétisent les réflexions portant sur une harmonisation ainsi qu’une possible régionalisation du droit pénal dans la zone Pacifique et exploitent l’idée d’une juridiction pénale régionale.

English Abstract: In this article, the authors highlight the vulnerability of small Pacific island states to international crimes and transnational organised crime. Assessing the efforts undertaken in the region to address these crimes in the face of important challenges, they summarise the current debate on the harmonisation and regionalisation of criminal law in the Pacific and explore the idea of a regional criminal court.

"Problemes with Current International and National Practices Concerning Extraterritorial Abductions"

(2002) 8 Yearbook of the New Zealand Association for Comparative Law pp 57-99
Victoria University of Wellington Legal Research Paper 21/2019
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English Abstract: Against a background of increasing raison d’Etat this paper reviews the state of international law on the question of extraterritorial abductions and examines whether international and national human rights instruments offer sufficient protection to the abducted criminal in the light of recent practice by international bodies and national courts. Part II briefly describes the general principles of international law governing extraterritorial abductions, including the circumstances when a states may be held responsible for abduction, the consequences facing the abducting state and the extent to which consent or irregular handing over by the state of refuge may preclude the wrongfulness of the act. Part III examines whether abduction and irregular rendition threaten the human rights of the abducted criminal and if so, whether the captured individual may raise their violation on the international plane and obtain reparation for the injury suffered. Part IV deals with the impact of developments of international law on the jurisdiction of national courts to prosecute the abducted criminal when custody is obtained through illegal means. In conclusion, Part V reflects on the need to combat impunity as well as the necessity of persevering the rights of the individual and suggests a few solutions to the problems highlighted.

French Abstract: C’est dans le cadre d’un appel croissant à la raison d’Etat que cet article examine la position du droit...
Terrorism presents one of the greatest challenges faced by the world today. In the aftermath of the events of 11 September 2001, both the United States of America and the European Union (EU) developed comprehensive approaches to counter-terrorism. This paper posits that these approaches, while similar, differ in several respects. The United States approach is generally regarded as pre-emptive and often military focused, and seeks to externalise the threat of terrorism, with policies potentially undermining human rights and the rule of law. The EU approach attempts to internalise the terrorism threat and treat it as a criminal offence, with institutional inadequacies potentially limiting the effectiveness of its policies. It is argued that the United States and the EU have taken these different approaches because of differing perceptions of the threat that terrorism poses and their different governance arrangements. Progress in cooperation is currently stunted by the reluctance of both the United States and the EU to make compromises on issues such as privacy standards and the death penalty. It is contended that the United States and the EU must accommodate these differences in order to cooperate at the level of international law, for a more effective transatlantic response to counter-terrorism.
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