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Motivation

Danish web searches from Google Trends


## Organization of the talk

- Research agenda and motivation
- Institutional background
- Data
- Results
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## Related literature

Two approaches broad strands of research literature on tax compliance deterrence vs. non-deterrence, Hallworth (2014).

Deterrence. Evading taxes is a gamble based on Sandmo (1972)

Non-deterrence.

## Introduction: The nature of owed taxes

The tax refund $(R)$ is the discrepancy between tax prepayments $(P)$, and tax liability ( L ).
R(A,l;Z) = P(A,I;Z) -L(A,I;Z)
where $A$ is allowances, $I$ is income and $Z$ is the tax code.

$$
R<0 \text { => taxpayer owes taxes }
$$

Denmark: The taxpayers are informed about R in the beginning of March every year.

## Related literature

- Slemrod et al. (1998). Outline a simple theoretical framework to explain the filing times behind US tax returns in 1988 and show empirically that trigger happy taxpayers who remit owed taxes too soon pass up 46 USD million in foregone interest payments.
- Jones, D. (2012). Presents overwhelming evidence that taxpayers are dragging their feet in relation to adjusting to exogenous changes in their tax prepayments and tax liability. One such example is the 1992 Bush administration's reduction in the default level for tax prepayments, for wage earners below a specified income threshold, which in its first year was only offset by $25 \%$.
- Lalumia, S. (2008). Use a 12 year panel dataset to investigate patterns of refund receipt over time. Lalumia reports that more than $30 \%$ of her sample receives a tax refund for the full observation period and that lower levels of income and wealth are characteristics of the tax payers receiving a refund.


## Research aim and method

## Primary research question

Did the introduction of the owed tax interest penalty lead to an earlier repayment of owed taxes?

Secondary research question
Are taxpayers' marginal interest rates a significant predictor for the payment timing of owed taxes?

## Institutional Background

| Year t-1 | Tax year t | Year t+1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month Event | Month Event | Month Event |
|  | January During the tax year the taxpayers pay preliminary taxes according to their PLIA. | January Tax year t have ended but the taxpayers can still make additional tax payments anytime before July 1st. |
|  |  | March The taxpayers receive their prepopulated tax assessment notice including information on any outstanding taxes. |
| November The taxpayers receive their |  | May The taxpayers have to file corrections to their pre-populated tax assessment notice before May |
| preliminary |  | 1st |
| income |  | July The taxpayers can no longer file |
| assessment |  | tax payments for tax year t . SKAT |
| (PLIA). |  | automatically collects any owed taxes. |

## Institutional Background

| Payment timing | Tax year 2008 (Pre penalty <br> introduction) <br> - Penalty rate - | Tax year 2009 (Post penalty <br> introduction) <br> - Penalty rate - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December 31th (year t) | 0\% | 0\% |
| Between January 1st and March 17th (year t+1) | $0 \%$ on payments up to DKK40,000 2\% on amounts in excess of DKK40,000. | Penalty $=4,6 \% *$ ((Payment date - <br> January 1st) / 365 days). <br> ex payment on March 11th 2010 4,6 \% * <br> $(70$ days $/ 365$ days $)=0,88 \%$. |
| Between March 17th and July $1^{\text {st }}$ (year t+1) | $0 \%$ but payments capped at DKK40,000. | As above. |
| After July 1st (year t+1) | $7 \%$ - Owed taxes (including the penalty) below a threshold, 17.700 DKK , is deducted against the following tax year's ( $\mathrm{t}+2$ ) tax free allowance. Any owed amount in excess of the threshold is charged in 3 installments of equal size in the following months of September, October and, November, tax year ( $\mathrm{t}+1$ ). | $6 \%$ - Owed taxes (including the penalty) below a threshold, DKK18,300, is deducted against the following tax year's ( $\mathrm{t}+2$ ) tax free allowance. Any owed amount in excess of the threshold is charged in 3 installments of equal size in the following months of September, October and, November, tax year ( $\mathrm{t}+1$ ). |

## Data

Register data from the Danish tax agency (SKAT)
Register 1: includes the results of the tax return calculations, i.e., the amount each taxpayer has either under or overpaid relative to his total tax liability, and the time and date the income assessment was available to the taxpayer via his personal e-account.

Register 2: provides information on the payments made by the taxpayers to SKAT.

Register 3: Includes annual information on all individual deposit and loan accounts and account specific interest payments made throughout the foregoing year.

All linked to Statistics Denmark registers.

Sample
All Danish taxpayers from 2005-2009
$\approx$ Standard filing deadline taxpayers, 3.8 mil. Observations per tax year

## Amount and number of taxpayers with owed taxes by tax year



## Distribution of owed taxes by tax year

Distribution of owed taxes on pre-populated tax assesment for SFD taxpayers

| Percentile 5th | DKK 99 | DKK 94 | DKK 111 | DKK 110 | DKK 120 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentile 10th | DKK 231 | DKK 221 | DKK 267 | DKK 269 | DKK 288 |
| Percentile 25th | DKK 747 | DKK 732 | DKK 908 | DKK 929 | DKK 954 |
| Percentile 50th | DKK 2.182 | DKK 2.201 | DKK 2.805 | DKK 2.861 | DKK 2.812 |
| Percentile 75th | DKK 5.562 | DKK 5.795 | DKK 7.553 | DKK 7.682 | DKK 7.128 |
| Percentile 90th | DKK 12.253 | DKK 13.111 | DKK 16.590 | DKK 17.019 | DKK 14.986 |
| Percentile 95th | DKK 18.999 | DKK 20.406 | DKK 25.843 | DKK 26.325 | DKK 22.273 |
| Average | DKK 5.434 | DKK 5.873 | DKK 7.077 | DKK 7.317 | DKK 6.718 |

## Distribution of owed taxes by tax year

Distribution of owed taxes on pre-populated tax assesment for SFD taxpayers

| Percentile 5th | DKK 99 | DKK 94 | DKK 111 | DKK 1^- | by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentile 10th | DKK 231 | DKK 221 | DKK 267 | DKK 21 | 21 DKK |
| Percentile 25th | DKK 747 | DKK 732 | DKK 908 | DKK 929 | DKK 95 |
| Percentile 50th | DKK 2.182 | DKK 2.201 | DKK 2.805 | DKK 2.861 | DKK 2.812 |
| Percentile 75th | DKK 5.562 | DKK 5.795 | DKK 7.553 | DKK 7.682 | . 1 |
| Percentile 90th | DKK 12.253 | DKK 13.111 | DKK 16.590 | DKK 17. <br> 1 J | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { by } \\ 177 & .986 \end{array}$ |
| Percentile 95th | DKK 18.999 | DKK 20.406 | DKK 25.843 | DKK 26. DKK | . 273 |
| Average | DKK 5.434 | DKK 5.873 | DKK 7.077 | DKK 7.317 | DKK 6.718 |
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# The Price of Owed Taxes by Payment Timing 

## Example: DKK 2,800 Owed Taxes
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## Payment timing 2005

Distribution of Owed Tax Payments by Week from January to July. Tax Year 2005.


## Payment timing 2006

Distribution of Owed Tax Payments by Week from January to July. Tax Year 2006.


## Payment timing 2007

Distribution of Owed Tax Payments by Week from January to July. Tax Year 2007.


## Payment timing 2008

Distribution of Owed Tax Payments by Week from January to July. Tax Year 2008.


## The Price of Owed Taxes by Payment Timing

## Example: DKK 2,800 Owed Taxes



## Payment timing 2009

Distribution of Owed Tax Payments by Week from January to July. Tax Year 2009.
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## Empirical specification

Outcome 'dist_jan': The dependent variable is calculated as [payment date - 1 January] for the relevant tax year

Outcome 'dist_logon': The dependent variable is calculated as [payment date - tax account log-on date] for the relevant tax year.

$$
{d i s t_{-} j a n_{i}}=\beta_{0}+\sum_{d=2006}^{2009} \alpha_{d} \cdot 1\{\text { year }=d\}
$$

## Payment timing LPM regression

Table 1: LPM estimation of payment timing on tax year

|  | Model 1 <br> dist_jan <br> b/se | Model 2 <br> dist_logon <br> b/se |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tax year 2006 | $-1^{* * *}$ | 0 |
| Tax year 2007 | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ |
|  | $-3^{* * *}$ | $5^{* * *}$ |
| Tax year 2008 | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ |
|  | $-1^{* * *}$ | $8^{* * *}$ |
| Tax year 2009 | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ |
|  | $-53^{* * *}$ | $-38^{* * *}$ |
| Baseline (Tax year 2005) | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ |
|  | $159^{* * *}$ | $61^{* * *}$ |
| Observations | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ |

The dependent variable 'dist_jan' from model 1 is calculated as [payment date - 1 January] for the relevant tax year.
The dependent variable 'dist_logon' from model 2 is calculated as [payment date - tax account log-on date] for every tax year.
Regression 1 and 2 includes alle payments from December 15 to July 5th. Robust standard errors are shown in the parathesis. $* \mathrm{p}<0.05, * * \mathrm{p}<0.01, * * * \mathrm{p}<0.001$

## Payment timing LPM regression

Table 1: LPM estimation of payment timing on tax year

|  | Model 1 <br> dist_jan <br> b/se | Model 2 <br> dist_logon <br> b/se |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tax year 2006 | $-1^{* * *}$ | 0 |
| Tax year 2007 | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ |
|  | $-3^{* * *}$ | $5^{* * *}$ |
| Tax year 2008 | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ |
|  | $-1^{* * *}$ | $8^{* * *}$ |
| Tax year 2009 | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ |
|  | $-53^{* * *}$ | For tax year 2005 the average |
| Baseline (Tax year 2005) | $(01)$ | payment fell on June 4. |
|  | $\left(159^{* * *}\right.$ |  |
| Observations | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ |

The dependent variable 'dist_jan‘ from model 1 is calculated as [payment date - 1 January] for the relevant tax year.
The dependent variable 'dist_logon' from model 2 is calculated as [payment date - tax account log-on date] for every tax year.
Regression 1 and 2 includes alle payments from December 15 to July 5th. Robust standard errors are shown in the parathesis. $* \mathrm{p}<0.05$, ${ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01, * * * \mathrm{p}<0.001$

## Payment timing LPM regression

Table 1: LPM estimation of payment timing on tax year

|  | Model 1 <br> dist_jan b/se | Model 2 <br> dist_logon b/se |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax year 2006 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-1^{* * *} \\ & (0.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Tax year 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & -3^{* * *} \\ & (0.1) \end{aligned}$ | $5^{* * *}$ <br> (0.1) |
| Tax year 2008 | $\begin{aligned} & -1^{* * *} \\ & (0.1) \end{aligned}$ | For tax years 2005-2008 the |
| Tax year 2009 | $-53$ | average payment fell on June 4. |
| Baseline (Tax year 2005) | $159^{* * * * *}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61^{* * *} \\ & (0.1) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Observations | 2.325 .967 | 2.325 .963 |

The dependent variable 'dist_jan' from model 1 is calculated as [payment date - 1 Jjanuary] for the relevant tax year.
The dependent variable 'dist_logon' from model 2 is calculated as [payment date - tax account log-on date] for every tax year.
Regression 1 and 2 includes alle payments from December 15 to July 5th. Robust standard errors are shown in the parathesis. $* \mathrm{p}<0.05, * * \mathrm{p}<0.01, * * * \mathrm{p}<0.001$

## Payment timing <br> LPM regression

Table 1: LPM estimation of payment timing on tax year

|  | Model 1 dist_jan b/se | Model 2 <br> dist_logon <br> b/se |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tax year 2006 | $-1^{* * *}$ | 0 |
|  | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| Tax year 2007 | $-3^{* * *}$ | 5*** |
|  | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| Tax year 2008 | $-1^{* * *}$ | 8*** |
| Tax year 2009 | ${ }_{(0.1)}^{(0.17 * * *)}$ | For tax year 2009 the average payment fell on April 12. |
| Baseline (Tax year 2005) | $159^{* * *}$ | $61^{* * *}$ |
|  | (0.1) | (0.1) |
| Observations | 2.325.967 | 2.325.963 |

The dependent variable 'dist_jan' from model 1 is calculated as [payment date - 1 January] for the relevant tax year.
The dependent variable 'dist_logon' from model 2 is calculated as [payment date - tax account log-on date] for every tax year.
Regression 1 and 2 includes alle payments from December 15 to July 5th. Robust standard errors are shown in the parathesis. $* \mathrm{p}<0.05$, ${ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01, * * * \mathrm{p}<0.001$

## Accumulated Payments by Tax Year
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## Accumulated Payments by Tax Year
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## Accumulated Payments by Tax Year



## Accumulated Payments by Tax Year



## Accumulated Payments by Tax Year
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## Accumulated Payments by Tax Year



## Liquidity measure

Liquid assets relative to disposable income

$$
\text { Liquidity }_{i}=\left[\frac{\text { Bank deposits }+ \text { Market value of shares and investment certificates }}{\text { Total taxable income- Total personal tax payments }}\right] \times 100
$$

| Liquidity | Liquidity corresponding to |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | None |
| 8 | One months income |
| 50 | Half-year income |
| 100 | Full year income |

## Payment timing by liquidity. Tax year 2006.
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## Payment timing by liquidity. Tax year 2007.
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## Payment timing by liquidity. Tax year 2008.



AOTU

## Payment timing by liquidity. Tax year 2009.
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## Payment timing by liquidity. Tax year 2009.
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## Conclusion

Taxpayers respond to incentives;
$>$ The introduction of the so-called day-to-day interest penalty on owed taxes reduced the payment time by 50 days (corresponding to a reduction in payment time by $35 \%$ )
> Liquidity constrained taxpayers showed a smaller response to introduction of the interest penalty .

## Even small incentives can be very effective;

> The payment timing was reduced by taxpayers changing their payment date from late June to mid-March (publication of the preprinted return)

