This chapter examines the conceptual basis for English courts’ recent awards of substantial damages for loss of privacy per se i.e. damages awarded absent any distress or other consequential harm. It argues that the basis for such awards is provided in English case law itself which says that even in the absence of distress, all actionable breaches of privacy interfere with the dignity and autonomy of the individual. This position, the article argues, is consistent both with widely-held philosophical, sociological and psychological understandings of nature of privacy harms and, as a result, with the reasons why English courts developed the misuse of private information action in the first place. This includes reasoning derived from the jurisprudence on the right to respect for private life in Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights 1950 the incorporation of which into English domestic law
The chapter develops these arguments in three parts. In the first part, the author discusses the leading English decisions on damages for misuse of private information. Having considered arguments that damages for the breach itself are designed to ‘vindicate’ the right, the chapter concludes that damages for the loss of privacy itself in fact compensate for the harm to dignity and autonomy inherent in all breaches of privacy. Part II shows that these reasons for protecting privacy breaches align with dominant theoretical conceptions on the relationship between privacy and the protection of dignity and autonomy. Finally, the chapter briefly reflects on how damages for loss or dignity and autonomy fit with other types of damages including those awarded for distress.
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This article provides a conceptual framework for understanding the nascent New Zealand privacy tort. It argues that, although it has the potential to be applied more broadly, the intrusion tort should focus on unwarranted watching, listening and recording of activities in respect of which a person has a reasonable expectation of privacy. The article is divided into three main parts. It begins by identifying retreat and inaccessibility as the basis for Anglo-Commonwealth understandings of privacy and providing a taxonomy of six sub-interests which fall within it. Then, it considers which of those six privacy sub-categories should come within the scope of the intrusion tort. Finally, the intrusion tort is reformulated so that it is clear precisely what behaviour it seeks to proscribe and on what legal basis.
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The fallout from the phone hacking scandal which has engulfed two of the United Kingdom’s major newspaper companies is well-known to anyone with an interest in media law. But until recently, many of the legal issues arising from the hacking – including the juridical basis for liability for listening to private conversations – had not been tested.

This article explores the significance of the acceptance, in the recent English High Court decision Gulati v MGN Ltd, that phone hacking itself is an actionable wrong. It begins by explaining how the judgment moves the privacy tort beyond its traditional focus on the disclosure of private information. It then explains how breach of confidence – particularly the Court of Appeal’s decision in Tchenguiz v Imerman – provides a possible juridical bases for that extension. The article goes on to argue though that Mann J’s judgment in Gulati does more than just extend breach of confidence principles into the privacy context. It also recognises that there is more to privacy than the dissemination, or indeed the acquisition, of private information; that privacy can also be breached by watching, listening to, or physically encroaching on a person against his or her wishes. By recognising these physical privacy interests, Gulati represents a conceptual sea-change in English law and, the article will argue, can be seen to be ushering in a new tort based on intrusion into physical privacy interests.
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This chapter examines the impact of intense media interest on the family members and friends of people killed in high profile disasters. It sets out the preliminary results of a study into the effect of intense media interest on the family and friends of the men lost at Pike River. The study raises a plethora of legal and ethical questions about the behaviour of journalists and reporters in the aftermath of tragedy. This paper focuses on just one of them: the participants’ concerns about the
The paper furthers the study’s ultimate aim of using the experience of the Pike River families to gain deeper understanding of the effect of the media on those experiencing trauma and loss, and to ask what, if anything, should be done to change media behaviour.
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